



GO-GRASS international event on green biorefining

23

- 24

Aarhus University Foulum, Denmark

May 2023

DATE: 22.06.2023

AUTHORS (ORGANISATION): PROSPEX INSTITUTE (PI)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement $N^{\circ}862674$



Technical References

Project Acronym	GO-GRASS
Project Title	Grass-based circular business models for rural agri-food value chains
Grant Number	862674
Project Coordinator	Philipp Grundmann Leibniz Institute for Agricultural Engineering and Bioeconomy (ATB) Email: go-grass@atb-potsdam.de
Project Duration	October 2019 – March 2024

Dissemination level ¹	PU
Work Package	WP7
Task	Task 7.3

¹ PU = Public

- PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)
- $\mathsf{RE}=\mathsf{Restricted}$ to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)
- CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

Document history

V	Date	Beneficiary	Author/Reviewer
1	18/6/2023	PI	Sara Chiba, Carolyn Brand
2	16/8/2023	PI	Sara Chiba, Carolyn Brand



GO-GRASS in a nutshell

GO-GRASS project (www.go-grass.eu) aims to create new business opportunities in rural areas based on grassland and green fodder and to support their replication throughout rural communities in the EU. The project develops, deploys and validates a set of small-scale demonstration sites (DEMOs) of a circular integrated agro-food system in four EU countries (Denmark, Germany, Sweden and the Netherlands). The project is expected to develop technologies from the current Technology Readiness Level (TRL) (between 5 and 6) to more advanced ones (8) successfully implemented under real conditions at the end of the project.

The DEMO in Denmark aims to develop small-scale bio-refining technology to extract protein concentrates for monogastric animals from grassland situated in nitrate sensitive areas. In Germany the DEMO targets to produce biochar via pyrolysis or hydrothermal carbonisation of grassland-cuttings from wetlands as supplement for soil improvement. In the Netherlands it is to develop digester and fermentation technology to produce paper and carton products from road-side grass and nature or fauna grass. In Sweden, the aim is to establish briquetting technology at local and small-scale to produce climate-friendly and heat-treated animal bedding using reed canary grass. Beyond the development of the individual DEMOs, the project aims to integrate the technologies and business models across the DEMOs to create additional values and value chain nods.

In order to realize and support its objectives, the project employs the principles of cumulativeness, innovation, replicability, inclusiveness, and circularity. The principles serve as guidelines and requirements for adapting and developing various tools, integrating circular economy in rural areas, ensuring successful demo implementation, creating favourable business environments and maximising the replication potential in other rural areas in the EU.

The tools to be developed by the GO-GRASS project include: online tools for business case assessment and funding; a manual on how to get started and succeed; a tool kit for cluster and network development; training courses for existing and future entrepreneurs; and guidelines on creating favourable business environments.

GO-GRASS will contribute to a range of circular and sustainable business models with high replication potential that can be used by entrepreneurs, local authorities and other stakeholders. It will demonstrate innovative cost-effective technologies, processes and tools applicable within the diverse DEMO scenarios. This will enable to effectively use grassland and shrubs which are being left to decay after mowing causing costs and lost benefits for individuals and society.

To stay up to date with GO-GRASS project events and reports, follow us on Twitter (@GoGrassEU), LinkedIn (GO-GRASS) or visit www.go-grass.eu.



Disclaimer

Any dissemination of results must indicate that it reflects only the author's view and that the Agency and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°862674



Table of Contents

1.	4	About End-User Engagement6
2.		The event process
	2.1	Introduction to the demo and project10
	2.2	Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and developments in the regions across Europe 10
	2.3	1st interactive exercise 11 2.3.1 HIGH IMPACT – LOW IMPACT 17
	2.4	Policy and industry view
	2.5	20 2nd interactive exercise
	2.6	3rd interactive exercise
3.	(Conclusions
4.	4	Annex 1: Presentations
5.	4	Annex 3: Evaluations



1. About End-User Engagement

According to the GO-GRASS Grant Agreement, Prospex Institute (PI) is responsible for organising one End-User Focus Group event at each of the demo sites throughout the course of GO-GRASS, under task 7.3. PI and DK Demo were set to organise the End-User Focus Group event in December 2022, but once the invitation process was in progress it was noticed, that the local farmers (end-users), were not as interested in the event, as the DK Demo had already established excellent connections with local farmers from the beginning of the project. The farmers were therefore already involved, closely following the demo's developments and at the same time providing feedback about the green protein they were testing on their farms. Therefore the original concept of the End-User Focus Group was consequently changed as PI and DK Demo decided to target a different group of end-users, namely technology end-users, instead of product end-users, and the event was adapted to the specific needs of the demo which is to better understand the possibility of green biorefining technology replication across Europe.

The revised format of the End-User Focus Group event took place at Aarhus University Foulum in Denmark, where the Danish demo is based on 23 – 24 May 2023.

The general objectives of this workshop were:

- Share findings of the Danish GO-GRASS demo research and demonstrate the Green Biorefinery Demo-Platform to inspire like-minded experts;
- Discuss green biorefining (potential) in regions across EU, share experiences and learn from each other;
- Inspire innovation to transform natural resources of grass land biomass into valuable assets;
- Identify obstacles and opportunities for the implementation and replication of the new technologies, processes and grassland business models;
- Enable extensive networking to explore the potential of future pan-European collaboration.

OPEN DAY

The GO-GRASS international event started with an Open House event in the afternoon of 23 May 2023, which allowed the participating EU experts to visit the Danish Demo-platform facilities. Morten Ambye-Jensen the lead of CBIO, Aarhus University Foulum greeted the event participants upon arrival and a tour of the facilities followed. The participating EU experts were invited to expect the biorefining system while given a detailed explanation on the GO-GRASS biorefining process, additionally being able to try out the GO-GRASS green protein.

The first day ended with a networking dinner where participants got the chance to get to know each other better and discuss their work in the field of green biorefining and its future aspects.





INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP

The intention of the event was to have a better understanding of the general status of green biorefining across Europe therefore the focus of the presentations held throughout the day were opportunities, challenges and developments of green biorefineries in participating regions and countries.

The workshop held on the second day and was sectioned in three parts.

During the morning key speaker's presentations set the scene for the event by presenting the status of green biorefining across Europe, including opportunities, challenges and developments from researchers' point of view. The initial presentations were followed by group work which focused on the identification of opportunities and first ideas on what needs to be done to enable green biorefining across EU. During the afternoon, the workshop looked into opportunities that policy is enabling, including current and future national and EU support to help new developments, complemented with industry's view and the potential market launch of new products. This was followed by an in-depth discussion focusing on technology replication and commercialization.

The workshop was rounded-up with an intense discussion about a potential Pan-EU Network of Green Biorefineries with an emphasis on alignment of important actors across Europe.

The workshop was concluded with the identification of "who, when and what" needs to be done which highlighted the necessary next steps the EU experts need to take to set up a successful network.

IN PERSON FORMAT

Due to the concept of the workshop, the international event was organised as an in-person event, in 2 different locations: CBIO, AU Foulum Demo Facilities on 23 May 2023 to AU Foulum campus on 24 May 2023.

INVITATION PROCESS

The international event targeted green biorefining experts, specifically representatives of government, industry and research from across EU.

The key speakers and potential participants were identified by GO-GRASS DK Demo and PI, to ensure a good representation of different EU countries at the event, which would provide an opportunity to understand the status of green biorefining across Europe and which steps need to be taken to successfully implement the technology.

The invitees received personal invitations two months before the event. At a later stage, the invitation was also published on the GO-GRASS website and social media.

PARTICIPANTS

The participants, who are listed in the table below¹, came from 7 different EU countries, representing 23 different companies, organisations and universities.

Different GO-GRASS partners' representatives were present at the event.

¹ The participants agreed for their names to be publicly available in this GO-GRASS report



From the Danish GO-GRASS Demo team: Morten Ambye-Jensen (Aarhus University Foulum) as the key speaker, Michael Støckler (Food & Bio Cluster Denmark), Karen Thorsted Hamann (IFAU) and Stine Rasmussen (AU).

From Prospex Institute Carolyn Brand as the lead moderator, Katharina Faradsch and Bent Sorensen as group moderators and Sara Chiba as event coordinator.

Additionally, Carmen Socaciu and Anna Paulusz from the GO-GRASS partner Gate2Growth joined the event, as the topic was also beneficial to other GO-GRASS partners.

The workshop was held entirely in English because of its international nature.

	Name, surname	Company/Organisation	
1	Anna-Lovisa Nynäs	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	
2	Anna Paulusz	Gate2Growth	
3	Aoife Healy O'Driscoll	Carbery Group	
4	Bente Møller Marcussen	Energibyen Skive	
5	Birgit Bonefeld	Aarhus University	
6	Bob Lambrechts	Grassa	
7	Bram Koopmans	Grassa-BV	
8	Carmen Socaciu	Gate2Growth	
9	Cathy Stummann	Energibyen Skive, Skive Municipality	
10	Christel Cederberg	Chalmers University of Technology	
11	Damian Lopez Fetzer	BCE Aarhus University	
12	Gavin Hunt	BiOrbic	
13	Håkan Rosenqvist	Agroväst, Chalmers, Own enterprise	
14	James Gaffey	Munster Technological University	
15	Johan Sanders	Grassa	
16	Joseph Sweeney	University College Dublin	
17	Karen Hamann	IFAU Institute for Food Studies & Agro Industrial Development	
18	Kira Kalsen Nissen	SEGES Innovation	
19	Knud Tybirk	Fbcd	
20	Kristian Knage-Drangsfeldt	Vestjyllands Andel	
21	Laila Thirup	BioRefine Denmark A/S	
22	Lene Stødkilde	Aarhus University	
23	Marketta Rinne	Natural Resources Institute Finland	





24	Martin Weisbjerg	Aarhus University, Dep. of Animal and Veterinary Sciences		
25	Merete Sørensen	Aarhus Universitet		
26	Michael Mandl	TBW Research		
27	Michael Støckler	Food and Bio Cluster Denmark		
28	Morten Ambye-Jensen	Aarhus University		
29	Nina Hilsted Gemal	The Danish Agricultural Agency		
30	Noel Ryan	Premier Green Energy		
31	Poul Erik Lærke Lærke	Aarhus University		
32	Sean O'Grady	Premier Green Energy		
33	Steffen Adler	Nibio		
34	Stine Rasmussen	Aarhus University		
35	Thalles Andrade	Aarhus University		
36	Wim Van Doorn	Grassa		



2. The event process

2.1 Introduction to the demo and project

The lead event moderator, Carolyn Brand from Prospex Institute welcomed the participants to the workshop, introduced the hosting GO-GRASS partners, presented the agenda and highlighted that the intention behind this event is completely open communication as the aim is to discuss and learn from each other so everyone can benefit from the immense knowledge and experiences the participants poses. The welcome was followed by the opening presentation by Morten Ambye-Jensen who introduced the GO-GRASS project and presented the Danish Demo story on green biorefining. The presentation included:

- Research and development done before the GO-GRASS project;
- Potential economics and production scenario;
- Research and development done in scope of GO-GRASS project;;
- Current status of green biorefineries in Denmark
- Future prospects: development of higher value products and optimal use of resources.

All presentations are available at the end of this report as Annex.

2.2 Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and

developments in the regions across Europe

Carolyn thanked Morten and introduced the first set of key speakers to the event participants. The 6 following presentations on green biorefinery status across EU were the basis for the 1st interactive group exercise that was carried out later in the day.

- Michael Mandl from TBW Research and Joe Sweeney from University College Dublin presented the LIFE-farm4more project and current biorefinery challenges, highlighting the system integration, technology and economic optimization, as well as opening up an important question regarding quantification of add-on benefits of green biorefining.
- The second presentation was held by Johan Sanders from GRASSA who emphasized the benefits of green-biorefining and the importance of green protein for the future.
- Marketta Rinne from Natural Resources Institute Finland, highlighted the current status, opportunities, challenges and developments of green biorefineries in Finland and provided some interesting insight into grass treatment.
- Christel Cederberg from Chalmers University of Technology explained the current status of green biorefining in Sweden, highlighting the importance of holistic approach and collaboration among research, farmers, industry and society.





- James Gaffey from Munster Technological University, presented Ireland's status, providing some insight into improvement of protein efficiency of grass and mentioned governmental efforts towards technology developments. Additionally, he also emphasized the importance of collaboration with farmers.
- Steffen Adler from NIBIO, concluded the first section of the international event with a
 presentation on green biorefineries status in Norway, similarly highlighting the
 challenges and opportunities, as well as providing important information from other
 interesting green biorefining projects.

Through all the presentations, common needs across EU countries were noticeable:

- Importance of collaboration among research, industry, government and society;
- Attracting investments;
- The need for technical and economical optimization of production;
- The creation of an effective business ecosystem, taking into account the holistic approach (economy, environment, food and energy security);
- Potential diversification of grass use;
- Societal acceptance of novel products.

2.3 1st interactive exercise

Following up on the presentations that highlighted the status of green biorefining across Europe, Carolyn Brand presented the group exercise that would help the participants tackle and potentially answer some of the question posed in the initial phase of the international event. The 1st interactive exercise focused on the identification of green biorefinery opportunities across Europe and the participants were asked to identify opportunities for innovation, replication and commercialisation of green biorefineries in Europe.

For the purposes of the exercise, the participants were divided into three working groups. The participants were pre-assigned into groups by Morten Ambye-Jensen, to ensure a versatile representation of counties and companies/universities/organisations in each group. The three group were led by Prospex Institute moderators, Carolyn Brand, Katharina Faradsch and Bent Sorensen to guarantee a quality approach to the topic in question.





	ADAUD WADI	Michael	Mandi	TBW Research	AT
		Laila	Thirup	BioRefine Denmark A/S	DK
	GROUF WORK	Nina Hilsted	Gemal	The Danish Agricultural Agency	DK
		Thales	Andrade	Aarhus University	DK
		Lene	Stødkilde	Aarhus University	DK
		Bente	Moeller Marcussen	Energibyen Skive	DK
	GROUP 1	Merete	Sørensen	Aarhus Universitet	DK
0		James	Gaffey	Munster Technological University	IE
ŝ	Facilitator: Carolyn Brand / Karen Hamann	Bob	Lambrechts	Grassa	NL
5		Wim	Van Doom	Grassa	NL
2		Christel	Cederberg	Chalmers University of Technology	SE
-		Kristian	Knage-Drangsfeldt	Vestjyllands Andel	DK
23		Anna	Paulusz	Gate2Growth	DK
0		Knud	Tybirk	Fbcd	DK
		Birgit	Bonefeld	Aarhus University	DK
	GROUP 2	Morten	Ambye-Jensen	Aarhus University	DK
		Cathy	Stummann	Energibyen Skive, Skive Municipality	DK
Lilily 1	Facilitator: Katharina Faradsch	Marketta	Rinne	Natural Resources Institute Finland	FI
and the second		Gavin	Hunt	BiOrbic	IE
		Bram	Koopmans	Grassa-BV	NL
111.1		Anna-Lovisa	Nynäs	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	SE
11:111		Damian	Lopez Fetzer	BCE Aarhus University	DK
11111		Kira Kalsen	Nissen	SEGES Innovation	DK
1:11:1		Carmen	Socaciu	Gate2Growth	DK
200	GROUP 3	Martin	Weisbjerg	Aarhus University, Dep. of Animal and Veterinary Sciences	DK
		Poul Erik Lærke	Lærke	Aarhus University	DK
	Facilitator: Bent Sorensen	Aoife	Healy O'Driscoll	Carbery Group	IE
		Joseph	Sweeney	University College Dublin	IE
		Johan	Sanders	Grassa	NL
		Steffen	Adler	Nibio	NO
		Håkan	Rosenqvist	Agroväst, Chalmers, Own enterprise	SE
11	This project has received funding from the European Unic research and innovation programme under grant agreen	n's Horizon 2020 nent N° 862674			

Figure 1: Working group division

Group 1

Group 1 was led by Carolyn Brand, who was accompanied by Karen Hamann from IFAU.

BIOREFINERY OPPORTUNITIES

The following biorefining opportunities were identified in working group 1. The proposals were clustered in the following overall categories:

Commercialisation benefits

- Benefits for the farmers (from raw material point of view, as well as end-user point of view) - a need for a suitable communication in the start of the process, for it to be valued and appreciated.
- An overall framework collaboration sharing knowledge, sharing ideas good practices across EU. How can experiences from Denmark help replicate the technology. The use of best practices where they fit best.
- Standardisation across EU a need for an EU level framework that enables standardisation.
- Top-up / top-down approach connected to policy. How to incentivise following from the framework at the EU level. (e.g., if farmers don't grow crops, they are incentivised to do so – they are paid money not to grow too many same crops. On the other side of the spectrum, there is no incentive for farmers to produce the green material for the green biorefineries.
- If you are an organic farmer there are subsidies, but being an organic farmer is not broad enough to cover farmers that use biorefinery products or side products. How can we incentivise this because this can have an impact to make a change.





- Product and market diversification: by-products which ones have the highest value. Might be the lowest volume but might be the highest value (e.g., pet feed)
- Protein in the EU desensitise protein imports. How can we turn the green protein into end-product. How can we incentivise the farmers/public to use protein that is grown and produced in EU incentivise EU protein and desensitise importation.
- Circular economy: The different by-products that are produced the liquids, the biogas the powder. How can every single element of grass be used.
- Training and education school for PhD students vocational education, training for farmers, young farmers, agriculture students. How can we incentivise the new generation of farmers to want to be more sustainable, to want to be climate friendly farmers.
- Business optimisation how to move away from organic farming title (the only profitable title if you can prove you are an organic farmer, you can sell your products at a higher price). Could we expand organic farming and call it climate farming you are not just producing organic food/product, but you are also thinking of the climate you are thinking of circular economy.
- Business optimisation
- Regulation the regulatory bodies; how can we encourage them and influence them to come up with the right policies that underpin the opportunities for green biorefining.

LCA ideas

- Solve the challenges with the difficulty of complicated business model;
- Define and execute a funding scheme (present agricultural system that needs change, high value new market, collaborate with textile and fibre industries (not only looking at the protein and biochar), innovation, diversifying applications, investigate the fermentation of brown juice to produce high value products);
- Define a EU wide policy framework that supports green biorefineries share best practices, protein education, raw material efficiency meeting multiple needs also to do with business optimisation, a need for more biomethane production, cascading use more of the by-products, framework to support the circular economy, standardisation, define and characterise a feed quality standard for grass protein in feeds;
- Plant optimisation how to optimise the plants (because you can't produce green protein as the plants don't grow all year – cross fertilisation of technology and plants to optimise it – use it at full capacity which would improve the business case);
- Increasing competitiveness of primary sectors from a farmers point of view;
- Technoeconomic assessment of pairing different scenarios.



Group 2

Group 2 was led by Katharina Faradsch, who was accompanied by Morten Ambye-Jensen from Aarhus University Foulum.

BIOREFINERY OPPORTUNITIES

The following biorefining opportunities were identified in working group 2. The proposals were clustered in the following overall categories:

ICT

- Idea of creating a common database to share samples of different technologies, different techniques from different universities and organisations – it is important to work with existing organisations because there is already a lot of developments with the potential to build on.
- A need to identify more synergies working together knowledge network with all actors – important to create opportunities for exchange (not just for specific partners) with all actors that can additionally have specific networks per actor type but it is also important that there is a network building on knowledge with all actors involved.

Awareness raising and skill development

- Especially among industry and farmers/actors in the farming field to get them exposed to new ideas, either by biorefining cooperatives or by farmers associations – build a local network, provide farmers advisory and support services so they know what is happening, what are the possibilities before they make a decision whether they want to be part of something new.
- A need to organise farmer markets and fairs in addition to a yearly Pan-EU event and have it decentralised in different locations to create awareness among those that are an important link in the biorefining process (farmers, industry partners).

Education and wider outreach

• Broader public: teaching in public schools to raise awareness among a larger group of people but also have PhD courses and science organisations that can play an important role.

Product diversification

 The opportunities related to products – product diversification for feed products, food products and non-food/feed products. Feed products: alternative for poultry feed in private households, improved liquid feed stability for pigs, full grass diet for dairy cows (silage supplemented with liquid fractions) – different components of green protein process that could potentially be further explored as feed products. Non-food/feed: wool alternative from green grass protein, insulation material.





Technology development

- Mobile units/technologies to reduce transport costs (huge impact),
- Integration into existing biogas plants,
- Value chains which would further technology development,
- Split the process for logistics optimisation (reducing costs, developing technology).

Business case (linked to technology)

- Redeveloping a robust business case robot harvesting (less labour intensive),
- Create business cases for smaller biorefineries (is it possible?) consequently decentralised processes,
- Combining the fresh and the silage produce to make it a yearly production, not production depending on the summer months (looking into possibility of using silage in the winter months).

Climate change and resilience (outside of area of influence)

- Potential to create opportunity (droughts in Europe more grass is growing because it is more resilient compared to other crops – if you have more grass you also need opportunities to use this grass).
- Water holding capacity of grass is higher argument to produce more grass. Using necessity for climate change and resilience in favour of grass-based protein production.

Investment and funding

• Important to secure the financing through subsidies or investors at different scales.

Policy and lobbying (decisions and steering)

- Identified as very important, because only with the help of governments on legislation on the novel food regulations there is a potential for something that can be implemented and widely spread across Europe.
- Public monetary compensation for ecosystem services that are provided by grasslands

 public incentive to have more grasslands is welcome and could be a push for green biorefineries.
- Politicians to consider grassland as one of the priorities.
- Fair price on soy (indirect) if soy is more expensive, green protein for feed could more easily be an alternative.

Connections between different clusters of opportunities: product diversification is influenced by policies (if there are appropriate policies in place there is a bigger incentive to increase product diversification). Policy and legislation also have an influence on financing – only when there is stable legislative framework, investors are more likely to invest, if they know that in a couple of years this will be a viable business model. Policy also has an influence





on climate change adaptation and resilience measures. Also, a link between knowledge/awareness raising/education and investments – if there is a good knowledge base, then people would know how to raise investments and get the funding.

Group 3

Group 3 was led by Bent Sorensen, who was accompanied by Michael Støckler from Food & Bio Cluster Denmark.

BIOREFINERY OPPORTUNITIES

The participants in working group 3 posted some 30 proposals on opportunities for the future of green bio-refinery in Europe. The proposals were clustered in the following overall categories:

Organisation and collaboration

- Structure
- Data collection
- Education PhD-network
- Exchange of good practice results of pilot projects
- Sharing of information on technical equipment/break throughs
- Involving farmers to ensure quality and optimal logistics
- Use fresh grass in summer, silage in winter

End-user products

- Promote animal feed
- Biogas
- Human food products
- Fiber extracting try new extraction techniques
- Press cake a business opportunity
- Certification of products

Communication of results and achievements

- Policymakers (EU Commission, national agencies)
- Agricultural organisations
- Media (general and specialised)

Additional ideas:

- Technology database
- Robots for logistics
- Integrate the biorefinery with other organisations to store CO2 and Nitrat
- Better documentation of eco-system services
- Valorization of the fibers, especially where N is limited paper, packaging
- Valorization of bio-compounds from final products
- Find ways to utilize the biorefinery all year round
- Subsidies on products instead of building biorefineries
- Communicate the advantages of biorefining to European Commission, politicians by White Paper, meetings with national politicians



2.3.1 HIGH IMPACT – LOW IMPACT

For the second part of the 1st exercise, the groups were asked to position the most important opportunities identified before in a chart, to better understand which opportunities would require more effort, and which less and would potentially be more impactful. This exercise was done, to determine the first steps that should be taken to better replicate the green biorefining technology across Europe.

Group 1

Group 1 was led by Carolyn Brand and Karen Hamann from IFAU. The group positioned identified opportunities in the following quadrats:



Additional remarks:

High impact – low effort

Policy, networking, collaboration, quantifying the benefits from scientific point of view that could be turned into monetary value which could go into quantifying benefits for investors and funding.

High impact – middle effort

Use silage, assess all the business case opportunities – how to enhance it, more high value products, efficient to use equipment, raw material supply.

Group 2

Group 2 was led by Katharina Faradsch, who was accompanied by Morten Ambye-Jensen from Aarhus University Foulum.



The group was asked to discuss and position the identified opportunities into corresponding sections of the chart based on their opinion.



High impact – High effort

Investments + funding Policies + lobbying Food products Business case Education + wider outreach Awareness raising Non food/feed products

High impact – Low effort Climate change adaptation + resilience Knowledge network with all actors (quality?) \rightarrow this is the basis for technology development

Middle impact – Middle effort Technology development

Low impact – Low effort Feed products



Group 3

Group 3 was led by Bent Sorensen, who was accompanied by Michael Støckler from Food & Bio Cluster Denmark.

Group 3 positioned the before identified opportunities in the following quadrats:



High impact – High efforts

Keep focus on main purpose – climate change, reduction of greenhouse gasses, reduction of CO2 footprint

Combine efforts of biorefineries and projects – EU wide overview on pilot projects Sharing of knowledge – logistics, processes, technical equipment, products etc. Inventory of challenges – collaboration on solutions

Education/courses in biorefinery - agriculture

All year production – fresh grass/silage

Economic incentives for farmers

High impact – Low efforts

Public-private partnerships

Organising EU-network of biorefineries, researchers and stakeholders

Public engagement – policymakers, stakeholders, NGO's, media, public at large Promote organic animal feed

Bring in different expertise (bioengineers, chemists, technical expertise etc.)



2.4 Policy and industry view

Carolyn Brand welcomed the event participants back into the room after a short lunch break. She introduced the second set of key speakers. The presentations in the second plenary focused on policy and industry, namely policy that could support the replication of biorefining technology and its implementation, complemented by some good practices from industry sector.

The first presenter was Laila Thirup from Biorefine Denmark who presented the biorefining company and its business plan from product to market. Her presentation was followed by Kristian Knage-Drangsfeldt from Vestjyllands Andel A.m.b.a. who presented the company's activities in the field of biorefining. Another. Johan Sanders from GRASSA rounded up the industry view by providing the Netherlands' perspective as well as sharing his thoughts on the full potential of grass, with the focus on product diversification.

Nina Hilsted Gemal from the Danish Agricultural Agency provided food for thought when she presented the Danish policy aspect, focusing on the process from political ambition to implementation of subsidies in Denmark.

Lastly, Karen Hamann from IFAU, a GO-GRASS partner presented the White paper which is continuously being developed throughout the project.

2.5 2nd interactive exercise

After the second part of plenary presentations, the participants were again asked to divide into the same three groups as before. The groups then continued discussions based on opportunities identified in the 1st interactive exercise to the topics of Replication, Implementation, Commercialisation and Collaboration.

For this part, the groups agreed on the following:

Group 1







COLLABORATION		
	INNOVATION SUPPORT	CLIMATE FARMERS / CIRCULAR FARMERS

Additional remarks:

Support emerging green biorefinery start-ups, industrialise, link across different sectors, customise technology, address two different key goals by combining technology and incentivising farmers, make the value chain more connected and therefore more valuable (look at the Danish story in regard to ministry and tap into the EU funding – if the Danish farmers can have that, why can't other EU countries have that also. Learn from the Danish ministry, learn from the Austrian story – 25% of farmers in Austria are organic farmers – how can we increase that to have 50% but call them climate farmers or circular farmers – they are underpinning circular economy, cross usage of facilities and grass and other green crops.

Following the first section of the 2nd interactive exercise, the participants were further encouraged to position the most interesting ideas identified before in three separate quadrats, which would help determine whether an idea should start being discussed, whether the discussions on the idea should continue, and which ideas can already be decided upon. At this stage, the groups had little time to go in-depth, and the three To Discuss/Keep Discussing/Decided grids below are to be seen as provisoric:

To Discuss	Keep Discussing	Decided
	Cross usage of	
	facilities/equipment	
+	Replication of CAP + EU	
	subsidies	
	+ (if Danish can, why can't all)=	
	Stakeholder management –	Quality environmental +
	start talking with EU agencies	business benefits
	-	- Scientific
		perspective
		- Regional
		perspective
		- EU perspective
		+
		- Environmental
		perspective
		- Business
		perspective
		+ market in EU brochures
	Joint research center (JRC in EC)	+



Additional remarks:

- Cross usage of facilities and equipment underpins all key things from the overall ideas of opportunities (grass and beat leaves in the same facilities, because they grow in different seasons);
- Decided: highly beneficial across EU would be replication of the CAP EU subsidies (learn from the Danish ministry – how can other ministries in other countries be helped so their farmers can benefit from it;
- Decided: quantify environmental and business benefits from a scientific point of view

 can do regionally and from the EU perspective but also from a scientifically environmental point of view (business, market for EU producers);
- Showing the EU and the funders and investors what are the benefits to enhance and support the circular/climate farmers not just organic farmers. How to start doing that?
- Stakeholder management, rather than a green biorefinery network coming up with this idea and suggesting it to an EU body but rather approach the EU body to start working with them to come up with something they are also looking for;
- Recommendation by the group (James Gaffey) was to contact the JRC in EU Commission and suggest a collaboration - start working from EU perspective to be able to quantify environmental and business benefits of green biorefining –start regional and bring up to the EU level, so that each country's ministry/and EU can see the potential benefits. Start with small steps – scientifically environmental, business, market and then work together to see how it can grow.



Group 2



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°862674



To Discuss	Keep Discussing	Decided
Climate change adaptation + resilience	Investors (who are most likely investors?)	Business case
	Products (so many options)	Education (content?)
	Technology development	Policies + lobbying
	Skills	(Open) knowledge network available
		Wider outreach

Group 3



Replication

- Funding schemes, such as the support for feasibility study by the Danish Agricultural Agency
- Education/courses
- Technology
- Standards boundary conditions

Implementation

• Find ways to utilize biorefinery all year round – combination of fresh grass and silage / a green biorefinery that can use fresh biomass in the summer and ensiled biomass in the winter



- Subsidies on products to match the prize of soy
- Try new extraction techniques
- Valorisation of bio-compounds from final products (cosmetics, etc). Valorisation of the fibres (paper, packaging)
- Holistic perspective
- Communicate the advantages of grass biorefining to EU Commission / politicians White paper. Organising meetings together with national politicians
- Better assessment of eco-system services of grassland

Commercialisation

- Robots for logistics business opportunity
- Synergies with other industries, share facilities
- Combine with fermentation to create more high-value products
- Synergies with other investors / share facilities:
 - different business consent
 - small medium large scale
 - increase knowledge at different levels
 - holistic perspective

Collaboration

- Organising EU-network of biorefineries, researchers and other stakeholders
- EU-network of PhD students in biorefinery
- Technology database
- Common procedures
- Mechanisms to better share/better information (also technical issues + thoughts) forums / groups
- Apply Danish financing model to build GBR (65%?) to other countries / learn how Grassa found investors without government support to develop the green biorefinery
- Apply major EU subsidies to compare, integrate know-how, speed up green biorefinery initiatives.

To Discuss	Keep Discussing	Decided
Funding – EU-wide	Funding	Education
Business opportunities • products • tochnology	Business opportunities • products • tochnology	Collaboration • good practice • challenges
Logistics/planning Harvest/processing	Logistics/planning Harvest/processing	Communication • public • engagement • EU engagement
New materials/innovation	New materials/innovation	





2.6 3rd interactive exercise

The 3rd and final interactive exercise of the GO-GRASS international event focused on the potential of setting up a Pan-European Network of Green Biorefineries.

Participants were asked to circle around the room and answer four questions. The answers were intended to provide a better insight on how to start the Pan-EU network, most importantly identifying three main points, namely who should be a part of it, what should be the next steps and when should the next steps be taken.

The questions the participants were answering were the following:

- What are your thoughts on setting up a European community about green biorefineries?
- What would be the first steps needed to launch it?
- How do you think we can make it successful?
- Who could/needs to be part of it?

The four event moderators, Carolyn Brand, Bent Sorensen, Katharina Faradsch and Karen Hamann took the participants through the process of identification. Caroly Brand summed up the ideas at the end of the exercise and the results were the following:

WHAT	WHO	WHEN
 Advantages for EU 	 Norway – Steffen 	15. June 2023
• Set up first launch	• The Netherlands –	
 Define a peer group 	Johan	
Reach out to other	• Finland – Marketta	
countries (Slovenia,	Austria – Michael	
UK, Iceland,	Sweden – Christel	
Germany, France,	 Ireland - James 	
Poland, Hungary)	Denmark – Morten	
	(start, potentially	
	have 4-month	
	mandate)	



3. Conclusions

Based on the results of the international event on green biorefining it can be concluded that the most important points for the future of technology replication, implementation and commercialisation are the following:

- awareness raising, which would help understand that grass can be an important asset and at the same time influence the policy makers for the right policies to be set up.
- Additionally, cooperation among all actors was emphasized multiple times during the event, including cooperation with farmers, governments, industry and research, as well as cooperation among actors from the perspective of sharing research, sharing facilities, sharing technology, which could consequently help develop a good business plan.

Regarding post-event cooperation, a need for a Pan-EU network of green biorefineries was clearly mentioned and the GO-GRASS international event can be seen as a starting point of EU collaboration among different actors. A month after the event, the GO-GRASS Danish Demo took the initiative and set up the first follow up meeting of the event participants that expressed their interest in being a part of the network.

The work in setting up a functioning network is expected to continue.





Annex 1: Evaluations

Carolyn Brand closed the workshop by thanking the participants together with Morten Ambye-Jensen. She invited participants to fill in an evaluation form on the workshop. The participants were asked the following questions:



Comments:

Well organized, good discussion
It gives a good overview over what other works with
Too stressed in time
Very good atmosphere. Positive and focused.
Great speakers, inspiring discussions
Strong event with potential High impact
Up to date again, new initiative
Grate organization
Nicely facilitated. Would've been mince to see follower regions from the Go-Grass project,
as they would've benefitted greatly from these discussions.
Gratis opportunities to build personal relationships and strong content on the presentations
Super event



Comments:

Some a bit too detailed as only 15 min were allowed There was no time for answers unfortunately



Next time it could be more focused, but fine at this first meeting Great speakers, perfect diversity

A kite for large shade for the second

A bit of a long stretch for the morning presentations

Really knowledgeable people sharing knowledge



Comments:

Almost very good, but a bit too stressful
Enthusiastic competent facilitation
For me there was to much we should cover
Too little time
Very active!
Nicely organized
Good facilitation to keep on track
Not always clear
Very nice discussions and tangible outcomes





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement $N^\circ 862674$





Comments:

Be aware f	to distinc	t betweer	í green
biorefining	' and	green	GRASS
biorefining	. I got m	uch wiser	on the
later 👍			
Got a	very w	ell interr	national
perspective	e		

What was completely new to you in this workshop?

Nothing new
To have a fuller picture of what is on-going in green biorefining
So many works with silage
That the other EU country does not have subsidy schemes
the amount of Authors on the way
How many we are working in the field of biorefinery
Inspiring new approaches to biorefinery in Europe
Nothing
Brown juice for Manure
Pan European biorefinery initiative
The current level of activities in many countries.
Using grass for cellulose for textiles
Different plants in different countries with similar goals
Pros and cons if Different approaches fresh grass VS silage
That there were so much similar activity in Europe which we didn't not know about
The large bio refinery network that has been around Europe for years and years yet is still
far from large scale up
Na
The wide range of biorefinery activities

lorefinerv activities

The funding policy of green biorefinery in Denmark and some of the commercial activity













This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°862674



Comments:

A bit stressing interaction discussion,
2 days would be better
Discussion after plenary speakers would have been good.

Annex 1: Presentations



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°862674



⁻International event on green biorefining

24 May 2023



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation programme under grant agreement N°862674.



Hosted by the GO-GRASS Danish partners

Aarhus University

Morten Ambye-Jensen



Food & Bio Cluster Denmark

Michael Støckler



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement **N° 862674**



- **Respectful Communication:** Treat everyone with respect and maintain a positive and inclusive environment.
- Active Participation: Engage actively in discussions, activities, and exercises. Contribute your ideas, ask questions, and share your experiences to make the most of the workshop.
- Timing
- Open-mindedness
- Collaborative Environment
- Mobile Device Etiquette
- Follow Facilitator's Instructions: Cooperate with the workshop facilitator(s) and follow their instructions. They are responsible for guiding the event and ensuring a productive and engaging experience for everyone.
- Enjoy and Have Fun: Make the most of the workshop by actively participating, networking with others, and enjoying the learning experience.
- Take breaks IF needed, stay hydrated, and maintain a positive attitude.





OBJECTIVES OF THE EVENT

- Share findings of the Danish GO-GRASS demo research and demonstrate the Green Biorefinery Demo-Platform
- **Discuss** green biorefining potential across EU, share experiences and learn from each other;
- Inspire innovation to transform natural resources of grass land biomass into valuable assets;
- Enable extensive networking to explore the potential of future pan-European collaboration.

35 participants 23 organisations and companies 7 countries



01

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement **N° 862674**



5

AGENDA

9:00 – 9:15	Welcome and introduction
9:15 - 9:30	Introduction to GO-GRASS and recap of Danish Demo
9:30 - 11:00	Presentations: Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and developments across Europe
11:00 - 11:15	Coffee break
11:15 – 12:15	Exercise: Identification of pan European green biorefinery opportunities
12:20 – 12:50	Lunch
12:50 - 14:00	Presentations: Industry and policy view
14:00 - 14:45	Exercise: Effective implementation of green biorefineries
14:45 - 15:30	A European green biorefinery network
15:30 – 15:45	Closing the workshop



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement **N° 862674**


Introduction to the GO-GRASS project and recap of the Danish Demo

by Morten Ambye-Jensen







RESEARCH: Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and developments across Europe			
Michael Mandl / Joseph Sweeney	TBW Research, AT		
Marketta Rinne	Natural Resources Institute Finland, FI		
Christel Cederberg	Chalmers University of Technology, SE		
James Gaffey	Munster Technological University, IE		
Steffen Adler	Nibio, NO		
Johan Sanders	GRASSA, NL		









8



COFFEE BREAK



SESSION 1:

Identification of pan European green biorefinery opportunities which transform natural resources of grass land biomass into valuable assets

SESSION 2:

Industry and policy view Effective implementation of green biorefining across Europe



SESSION 3:

A "how to" of a pan European green biorefinery network





GO-GRASS

10

SESSION 1:

Identify opportunities for innovation, replication and commercialization of green

biorefinery in Europe ?

Inspire & innovate

- ✓ SHARE IDEAS FREELY
- ✓ NO IDEA IS WRONG
- ✓ NO CRITICISM OR JUDGEMENT
- \checkmark Think out of the box
- ✓ NO LIMITS
- ✓ BUILD ON IDEAS





GROUP WORK

GROUP 1

Facilitator: Carolyn Brand / Karen Hamann

GROUP 2

Facilitator: Katharina Faradsch

GROUP 3

Facilitator: Bent Sorensen

	Michael	Mandl	TBW Research	AT
	Laila	Thirup	BioRefine Denmark A/S	DK
	Nina Hilsted	Gemal	The Danish Agricultural Agency	DK
	Thalles	Andrade	Aarhus University	DK
	Lene	Stødkilde	Aarhus University	DK
	Bente	Moeller Marcussen	Energibyen Skive	DK
	Merete	Sørensen	Aarhus Universitet	DK
	James	Gaffey	Munster Technological University	IE
	Bob	Lambrechts	Grassa	NL
	Wim	Van Doom	Grassa	NL
	Christel	Cederberg	Chalmers University of Technology	SE
	Kristian	Knage-Drangsfeldt	Vestjyllands Andel	DK
	Anna	Paulusz	Gate2Growth	DK
	Knud	Tybirk	Fbcd	DK
	Birgit	Bonefeld	Aarhus University	DK
	Morten	Ambye-Jensen	Aarhus University	DK
	Cathy	Stummann	Energibyen Skive, Skive Municipality	DK
	Marketta	Rinne	Natural Resources Institute Finland	FI
	Gavin	Hunt	BiOrbic	IE
	Bram	Koopmans	Grassa-BV	NL
	Anna-Lovisa	Nynäs	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	SE
	Damian	Lopez Fetzer	BCE Aarhus University	DK
	Kira Kalsen	Nissen	SEGES Innovation	DK
	Carmen	Socaciu	Gate2Growth	DK
	Martin	Weisbjerg	Aarhus University, Dep. of Animal and Veterinary Sciences	DK
	Poul Erik Lærke	Lærke	Aarhus University	DK
	Aoife	Healy O'Driscoll	Carbery Group	IE
	Joseph	Sweeney	University College Dublin	IE
	Johan	Sanders	Grassa	NL
	Steffen	Adler	Nibio	NO
	Håkan	Rosenqvist	Agroväst, Chalmers, Own enterprise	SE
10	Harizon 2020			







12

LUNCH





Speakers

Industry and Policy: Effective implementation of green biorefining across Europe			
Laila Thirup	Biorefine Denmark A/S, DK		
Kristian Knage-Drangsfeldt	Vestjyllands Andel A.m.b.a., DK		
Johan Sanders	GRASSA, NL		
Nina Hilsted Gemal	The Danish Agricultural Agency, DK		
Karen Hamann	IFAU, GO-GRASS		



13



SESSION 1:

Identification of pan European green biorefinery opportunities which transform natural resources of grass land biomass into valuable assets

SESSION 2:

Industry and policy view Effective implementation of green biorefining across Europe



SESSION 3:

A "how to" of a pan European green biorefinery network



GO-GRASS



Effective implementation of green biorefining across Europe

Identify which opportunities would underpin success terms of the below topics

- 1. **REPLICATION**
- 2. IMPLEMENTATION
- 3. COMMERCIALISATION
- 4. COLLABORATION

DISCUSS / KEEP DISCUSSING / DECIDED

()



~

SESSION 1:

Identification of pan European green biorefinery opportunities which transform natural resources of grass land biomass into valuable assets

SESSION 2:

Industry and policy view Effective implementation of green biorefining across Europe

SESSION 3:

A "how to" of a pan European green biorefinery network





GROUP WORK

SESSION 3:

How might we build a green biorefinery community

PART 1

- a. "What are your thoughts on setting up a European community about green biorefinery?"
- b. "How do you think we can make it successful?"
- c. "What would be the first steps needed to launch it?"
- d. "Who could / needs to be part of it?"







GO-GRASS

18



How might we build a green biorefinery community

PART 2 – NEXT STEPS

- WHO
- WHAT
- WHEN









THANK YOU!







20

Event evaluation (5 minutes)







Follow our journey









go-grass.eu

Contact:



go-grass@atb-potsdam.de



The GO-GRASS project will develop a set of small-scale bio-based solutions to unlock the overlooked potential of grassland across Europe and create new business opportunities for rural areas.

For more updates, follow us !







22



Introduction to GO-GRASS & the Danish Demo story on green biorefining



Grass-based circular business models for rural agri-food value chains





Grass-based circular business models for dynamic rural comunities





The diverse potential of Grassland



of the EU surface Is covered by grassland

Grassland management is **relevant** and of **great interest** for rural communities.

Especially **unused grass** like less productive or less nutritive species and grass from remote/protected areas could open possibilities of **new products** and **value chains**.



*Source: eurostat; EU-28 countries; 2015



The GO-GRASS vision



Upscaling of existing business cases at **local level.**

Replication of existing businesscases or models in othergeographical areas.



Create **additional benefits** for farmers and producers **without competition** to food and feed production.



Highly diverse DEMO sites







Biomass



Technologies

Business model maturity and circularity



End-products



Grass protein - sustainable animal feed





GO-GRASS will develop a set of (online) tools and resources designed for rural entrepreneurs, policy makers and networks.





Online interactive map

Guideline

A-Z



Accessment & funding



How-to manual



Training courses









GO-GRASS

Grass-based circular business models for rural agri-food value chains

Danish DEMO



DEMONSTRATION SCALE TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN GREEN BIOREFINING



DK DEMO Partners:





Food & Bio Cluster Denmark





Aarhus University (Dep. of Agroecology, Engineering and Animal Science) VELAS (Agricultural consultancy – involving local farmers) IFAU (Institute for foodstudies and agroindustrial development) Food & Bio Cluster (Cluster of knowledge inst. and industry) mKjeldal (Consulting for harvest machinery and logistics)



10

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

CHANGING ANNUAL CROPPING SYSTEMS WITH PERENNIALS



DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

ENVIRONMENTAL REASONS FOR PERENNIAL GREEN CROPS

More perennial green biomass will have several positive environmental impacts.

- Reduced Nitrate leaching compared to cereals and corn
- No pesticide use necessary
- Positive soil carbon input (for grass around 1 ton C/ha)
- Increased biodiversity using crop mixtures (even herbs)



Manevski, K., et al., Biomass productivity and radiation utilisation of innovative cropping systems for biorefinery (2017) Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 233, pp. 250-264.

Cong, W.-F., et al., Species interactions between forbs and grass-clover contribute to yield gains and weed suppression in forage grassland mixtures (2018) Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 268, pp. 154-161.





MORTEN AMBYE-JENSEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

barley

rape

LARGE FOCUS IN DK ON BIOREFINERIES PRODUCING PROTEINS FROM GRASSES AND LEGUMES

Several reasons:

- Danish agriculture is one of the worlds most intensive agricultural productions
- World leader in pig breeding and pig meat production produces 31.8 mio pigs/yr
- \rightarrow Import of 1 mio ton feed protein per year
- DK agriculture has environmental challenges, especially with nitrogen leaching.
- Danish agriculture has a specific challenge to apply to the EU Water Directive next
 deadline 2027
 Directive 2000/60/EC framework for Community action in the field of water policy
- DK has a committed goal to reduce GHG emissions by 70% in 2030!
- Agriculture is responsible for 25% of total emission
- \rightarrow Strong political interest for fast implementation







Minister for Environment and Food of Denmark, Jakob Ellemann-Jensen, tasting green protein, 2018



DK's import of soy meal equals to a production area of ¼ of DK area



BASE CASE VALUE CHAIN

OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL

ENGINEERING

R&D ON THE GREEN BIOREFINING VALUE CHAIN SINCE 2013 WITH FOCUS ON THE BASE CASE



EARLY EXAMPLE OF ECONOMICS IN A PRODUCTION SCENARIO (2018)

All numbers can vary depending on how we make the refinery and the value chain - important assumptions!

Capacity assumptions:

- 40 ton fresh biomass/hour
- 21.600 t dry matter/year
- 3000 operational hours/year
- In combination with existing biogas

Economic assumptions:

- Eiorefinery CAPEX : 3.36 mio EUR
- Depreciation time: 15 year
- 5% Interest rate , 5% Maintenance
- Grass price
- Organic:
- Conventional:
- <u>Protein price</u>
- Organic:
- Conventional:
 - Fiber pulp price
- Identical to grass price
- Residue juice is not given either any cost or value It is used for internal energy production at the biogas plant.

0.15 EUR/kg

0.13 EUR/kg

0.67 EUR/kg

0.34 EUR/kg

Production		
Protein concentrate	3.643*	t DM/yr
Fiber pulp	15.034*	t DM/yr
Rest juice	2.924*	t DM/yr 🏒
* Based on assumed production efficiencies		

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 32 nd European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering (ESCAPE32), June 12-15, 2022, Toulouse, France L. Montastruc, S. Negny (Editors) © 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-95879-0.50147-8 Process Integration and Techno-Economic Assessment of a Green Biorefinery Demonstration Scale Platform for Leaf Protein Production Thalles A. Andrade, Morten Ambye-Jensen Aarhus University, Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering. 8200.1.1.1						
Energy and salary	0.17	9				
Maintenance	0.17	0.17				
Depreciation and interests	0.32	0.32				
Result	0.66	-0.34				





Grass-based circular business models for rural agri-food value chains

How have GO-GRASS added to the story...?





Focus areas of GO-GRASS DK Demo

- General process optimisation in Demo-scale
 - E.g. Yield optimization
- Test of grassland biomass from paludiculture areas
 - E.g. Tall fescue and Reed canary grass
- Test of harvest methods and logistics
 - E.g. Time from harvest to processing
 - Protein concentrate feed trials with pigs testing the digestibility of different protein product qualities
- Press cake fibre feed trial with dairy cows and milk production on farm scale





GO-GRASS

.8

WHAT FACTORS IMPACT THE PROTEIN YIELD?







• **The biomass**, its protein content and especially the distribution of soluble and fiber-bound protein.

• **Biological activity** in the plant that starts immediately after harvest. In particular 1) enzymes that cross-link protein and cause browning 2) enzymes that break down protein into amino acids that cannot be precipitated and centrifuged

• How we process that biomass so that as much protein as possible is extracted from the plant, and as little protein as possible is cross-linked and broken down



MORTEN AMBYE-JENSEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Yield of protein concentrate increases with the plant nitrogen content

Yield of protein concentrate decreases with plant dry matter content

Legumes result in higher yields of protein concentrate than grasses

> Enhancing protein recovery in green biorefineries through selection of plant species and time of harvest Stødkilde, Lene; Lashkari, Saman; Eriksen, Jørgen; Jensen, Søren Krogh; ISSN: 0377-8401, 1873 2216; DOI: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2021.115016; Animal feed science and technology , 2021, Vol.278, p.115016

Plant dry matter content (%)

IMPORTANCE OF THE BIOMASS FOR REACHING HIGH YIELDS OF PROTEIN CONCENTRATE









OPTIMIZATION OF PROTEIN CONCENTRATE YIELDS

Demoscale setup for more severe maceration \rightarrow Higher protein extraction to the green juice



ENGINEERING



YIELD IMPROVEMENT WITH SEVERE MACERATION TEST 2021, JUNE, GRASS CLOVER



With severe maceration (rotocutter + disrupter)





The effect of the severe maceration depends on the specific biomass and on the process efficiency



Yields increase, Total energy consumption increase, → Energy consumption per kg product does not increase

Total energy consumption 80,0 70,0 60,0 50,0 40,0 [4Wh] vg 30,0 20,0 10,0 0.0 Deflaker Deflaker Deflaker Festuloliun Lucerne Grass-clove White clove Infeed Heat treatment Drving Storage Energy per protein product 10 Energy [kWh]/ LPC (DM) [kg] 8 6 In-field In-field In-field Deflaker tationary Disruptor Deflaker Deflaker Stationary Deflaker Stationary Deflaker Deflaker Disruptor Stationary Disruptor Stationary Stationary Disruptor + 5 Festulolium Rvegrass Red clover White clover Lucerne Grass-clover Infeed energy Total energy

AARHUS UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

HARVEST EXPERIMENT **AUGUST 2021**





LPC YIELD FROM RAW BIOMASS WITH CUTTING IN THE FIELD Fresh matter ---- Dry matter ---- Crude protein VIELD FROM RAW BIOMASS (W/W%) 25 20 15 10 5 12 14 0 10 Ú L TIME AFTER HARVEST LPC YIELD BASED ON GREEN JUICE WITH CUTTING IN THE FIELD ---- Dry matter ---- Crude protein LPC YIELD FROM JUICE (W/W%) 10 10 10 90 12 14

TIME AFTER HARVEST

- 30-31. august 2021
- Protein extraction yields for different harvest methods at different times after harvest (0.5, 6,12 hr)
- During the experiments temperature was 15 - 21°C





STATUS OF THE GREEN BIOREFINERY IN DK

- The quality of the protein concentrate is good (50-60% crude protein + high in essential amino acids) and can replace soy meal.
- The fiber pulp can be used both for biogas and for nutrient-rich feed for cattle, the latter, however, requires that there is still enough protein (>14% CP) left.
- The yield of the protein concentrate is often too little (5-15% of TS) and the process requires optimization We would like yields of 15-20% of TS
- The potential for more higher value products is well under way, but require more R&D. This is a focus for many of the research projects that are underway...
- Commercialization of the "base case" is underway and the first two plants in DK are in operation. But there's still several challenges to overcome to make a good and profitable business. E.g. logistics and continuous high yields

AARHUS UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICA ENGINEERING

MORTEN AMBYE-JENSEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

















AN INTER-DISCIPLINARY TEAM EFFORT AT AU



AU Agroecology

Uffe Jørgensen <u>uffe.jorgensen@agro.au.dk</u> Poul Erik Lærke <u>poule.laerke@agro.au.dk</u> and TEAM!





AU Biological & Chemical Engineering Morten Ambye-Jensen <u>maj@bce.au.dk</u> Henrik Bjarne Møller <u>henrikb.moller@bce.au.dk</u> and TEAM!



AU Animal and Veterinary sciences Søren Krogh Jensen <u>skj@anivet.au.dk</u> Lene Stødkilde-Jørgensen <u>Isj@anivet.au.dk</u> Martin Riis Weisbjerg <u>martin.weisbjerg@anivet.au.dk</u> Nikolaj Peder Hansen <u>nikolaj.hansen@anivet.au.dk</u> and TEAM!



MORTEN AMBYE-JENSEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR



CROSS DISCIPLINARY AU CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT OF BIOECONOMY

AGROECOLOGY ENGINEERING ANIMAL SCIENCE FOOD SCIENCE

QUANTITATIVE GENETICS & GENOMICS MANAGEMENT & CONSUMER BEHAVIOR

Research areas

http://cbio.au.dk/





...IN A VERY ACTIVE DANISH NETWORK FOR R&D IN GREEN BIOREFINERIES!





GO-GRASS

What's next...





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF HIGHER VALUE PRODUCTS AND OPTIMAL USE OF RESOURCES



INCREASED VALUE FROM THE PROTEIN CONCENTRATE

Basic scenario: Feed alternative to soy meal

Specialty feed

- Extra high protein purity and digestibility e.g. for fish and young animals
- Optimized amino acid profile (e.g. higher cysteine)
- Utilization of high fat content (primarily α -Linolenic acid)
- Pre- & pro- biotic effects via fermentation of the products

Food protein

- New source of plant based protein for consumption
- New source of functional protein for the food ingredient market

Both requires more process development and product quality testing And for food: a novel food approval!

AARHUS UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL ENGINEERING



High value is good.... BUT low value products in

bulk quantities are

MORTEN AMBYE-JENSEN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

INCREASED VALUE OF THE FIBRE

Basic scenario: Feed for ruminants or substrate to biomethane

Thermal conversion, e.g. Pyrolysis / HTL...

- Supply of internal energy needs for heating and drying
- **Biochar production**
- Biooilproduction

Further conversion of the fibre fraction.

Lignocellulosic biorefinery (LCF-Biorefinery)

Applications in Biomaterials

- Insulation materials
- **Bio-composites**
- Packaging
- **Biobased** textiles

AARHUS

ENGINEERING

JNIVERSITY

Horticulture substrates











(E/C)

Ligno-Cellulosic Feedstock Biorefinery [LCF-Biorefinery]

INCREASE VALUE OF RESIDUAL JUICE

Basic scenario: Biomethane from the brown juice for internal energy production

Up-concentrated via membrane technology

• Fermentation substrate with high sugar content

Separated and isolated valuable organic compounds

- Mono- or oligosaccharides
- Organic acids
- Amino acids, peptides or soluble proteins











GO-GRASS

Main message:

Green Biorefineries has huge potential!

In DK we are just about to catch some of it...

But there's so much more to do...

Let us discuss and work together to catch more of this potential in more places of EU

Tak ! Thank you for listening

Contact: <u>maj@eng.au.dk</u>







CBIO AARHUS UNIVERSITY CENTRE FOR CIRCULAR BIOECONOMY



34

#farm4more

Climate Action | Green Feed | Biorefinery

LIFE-farm4more project and biorefinery challenges

Joe Sweeney, University Collage Dublin Michael Mandl, tbw research GesmbH

HBLFA Raumberg-Gumpenstein Landwirtschaft





biochar Nergy





An Roinn Comhshaoil, Aeráide agus Cumarsáide Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications





CURRENT MODEL

LIFE Climate Action project

- July 2019 (60 Months)
- ► Total Budget (€5,494,599)
- Austrian and Irish partners
- Attempting to effect Climate Change mitigation in animal protein production

ane and lor

- Conventional Beef & Dairy farming
- Conventional Pig & Poultry farming
 - High GHG, N & P emissions
- Conventional seaweed production
 - High GHG emissions

PROJECT SCOPE

- Demonstration of a Green Biorefinery process for organic feeds: ruminates (cattle) & monogastric (chicken, pigs..)
- Demonstration of a small scale Biochar process to deliver high quality biochar suitable as feed additive
- Implement a Biosensor for monitoring & control
- Prepare implementation define scenarios stakeholder engagement



PROJECT CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION OBJECTIVES

- Sustainable land management strategies (organic, Zero N)
- Impact assessment LCA
- Future/alternative GBR input substrates (BMRS)
 - Sustainable seaweed production (Ensiling as opposed to drying)



GREEN BIOREFINERY - AUSTRIAN PERSPECTIVE

In a nutshell

- Michael Mandl environmental engineer; Life-Science and Natural Resources
 - About 25years in applied research,
 - Involved and managing a series of green biorefinery projects at various scales and TLR
 - …and I really "love" green biorefining
 - Currently implementing Farm4more prototype green biorefinery

GREEN BIOREFINERY - AUSTRIAN PERSPECTIVE

Activities in Austria

Grüne Bioraffinerie development based on GRASS SILAGE feedstocks form prove of concept to Piloting TLR7; Currently implementing DEMO plant TRL 8

GREEN BIOREFINERY

- Demonstration green biorefinery for organic feed products
 - Raw materials: grass/clover/legumes mixtures
 - Process steps
 - (1) High quality feedstock silage to start with!
 - ► (2) Extraction process/ pressing: \rightarrow juice & pulp (solids)
 - (3) Juice processing to accomplish feed properties,
 - (4) Evaporation to concentrate (60-65%dm) for monogastric feed applications
 - (5) Grass pulp conservation (ensiling, drying) for feed; direct use for biogas process

Products

- Hydrolysed protein (PPs/AAs) concentrates for feed and alternative uses.
- Grass press cake for feed (direct use/silage/ dried & pelletised), and for anaerobic digestion.

Implementation of green biorefinery installations is executed in 2 phases:

- <u>1st phase:</u> small scale mobile pilot to generate prototype products for feed tests app. (1000kg/h)
- 2nd phase: full scale green biorefinery to process 10.000 t_{FM}/a organic grass silage feedstocks for industrial prototyping and market uptake, online form 9/2023.

 Primarily Focus is on processing organic certified feedstocks

Why organic certified feedstocks?

- Austria has a high percentage in organic farming (25% of arable land)
- Grass/clover/legumes cultivation in crop rotation is well established within organic farming for N-binding
- Strong organic animal production & dairy farming in Austria and
 - -> therefore, a demand for organic feeds
- The organic niche is more attractive to start implementation due to <u>higher revenues</u>
- No adding of single AAs allowed in organic feeds

GREEN BIOREFINERY PROTOTYPING

July 2021 - GBR campaigning at HBLFA Raumberg - Gumpenstein





GREEN BIOREFINING CAMPAIGING AT HBLFA - RAUMBERG - GUMPENSTEIN



EVAPORATION AT DIFFERENT SCALES

- Industrial scale: 3-effect fall film evaporator
- Pilot scale- on site evaporation







PROTOTYPING NEW CHICKEN FEEDS



Mixing 14 % AA-concentrate into 3 tons of pre-mixed feed, homogeneously!



 Final feed pellet including AA concentrates



FULL-SCALE GREEN BIOREFINERY

- Implementation of full-scale green biorefinery has been delayed ...currently implemented to be online 9/2023
- Supply chain 10.000 t FM/a organic silage
- Green biorefinery is integrated with existing a biogas plant in Japons to facilitate synergies in feedstock supply, process heat and utilities





GREEN BIOREFINING CHALLENGES



CHALLENGE #1 IN GREEN BIOREFINING System Integration

- "Embedding" of Technology to fit to a specific regional situation and framework
- Raw material potential available to determine an operational mode and size of a green biorefinery.
- Logistics of feedstock is a very important parameter
- Mobile / decentral processing vs. centralized processing?
- How is the grass pulp to be used
 - directly fresh/ dried/ ensiled
 - as ruminant feed in the region
 - For biogas production / or fibre use
- Are regional Synergies possible? ..e.g. with other actors or existing infrastructure

CHALLENGE #2 IN GREEN BIOREFINING TECHNOLOGY & PRODUCTS

- Optimisation of Technology to deliver on fit to a specific regional situation and framework
 - Optimized Recovery Rate for Products and Intermediates kg_{CP}/ t_{dm} grass;
 - Optimized specific energy demands (power and heat) in processing kWh_{el}/ kg prod ; kWh_{th}/ kg prod
 - Consumables and Wastes are often underestimated
 - Deliver on product properties CP content, aminogram, "impurities" etc...
- Feedstock quality is very important and is part of the technology optimisation
- Contradicting goals: Optimising juice yields....is degenerating pulp feed properties
- Simple process vs. advanced processing (e.g. multiple products)

CHALLENGE #2 IN GREEN BIOREFINING TECHNOLOGY & PRODUCTS

Primary processing - solid -liquid separation Crude protein recovery from silage feedstocks



Ref.: Mandl et al 2006

CHALLENGE #2 IN GREEN BIOREFINING TECHNOLOGY & PRODUCTS

Primary processing - solid -liquid separation Lactic acid recovery from silage feedstocks



Ref.: Mandl et al 2006

CHALLENGE #3 IN GREEN BIOREFINING ECONOMICS

- How can an economic set-up and green biorefinery operations be achieved?
- Green biorefinery a lacking to date a clear economic proposition, (from an investors point of view). They are most likely not offering a much cheaper solution for products & services.
- The economic framework and model is "tight", benchmark products (such as soy etc..) are well established well and produced worldwide on a competitive market.
- ► There is a upper revenue limit of 3-3,5€/kg CP equ. (organic) for alternative feed applications
- Co-products can improve the business case,
- Economy of scale and operational time large processing plants to perform economically better
- How to account for "green effects" and GHG emission reduction?

CHALLENGE #3 IN GREEN BIOREFINING ECONOMICS

Some characteristics

- Investment costs for green biorefineries are substantial
- Prices of feedstocks and transport need to be low, also quality feedstocks quality is important
- Process have substantial Energy costs
- Feedstock quality is worth money
- Using existing infrastructure is wise synergies between partners (e.g. access to cheep energy) is a key issue



Analytik
CHALLENGE #3 IN GREEN BIOREFINING ECONOMICS- MARKET- BULK OR NICHE

- Bulk markets for implementing green biorefineries in large scale are FEED and Energy
- Should we initiate policy support to subsidise green biorefinery system for being economic in feed & energy markets? Directly / indirectly?
- Should we instead focus on for higher revenue product & markets to improve economics?
 - Such as FOOD applications;
 - Add on more co-products and technology platforms (e.g. fermentation....other pulp applications)

CHALLENGE #4 IN GREEN BIOREFINING ADD-ON BENEFITS QUANTIFICATION

- Are we delivering only new products or does green biorefining deliver on additional benefits/ impacts as well?
-such as positive effects in
 - improved LCA ...and GHG benefits
 - rural development & employment,
 - improved national economy,
 - decreasing soy imports, increased self sufficiency
- How can we quantify these benefits and take them into account in an economic model?
- How to explain and communicate add-on benefits of green biorefining to general public?

GO-GRASS project workshop wants to tackle and discuss Challenges and business models in green biorefinery On multi expert level.

> "Wenn Du eine weise Antwort verlangst, muss du vernünftig fragen".

> > (J.W. Goethe)

....hope to get networking and interaction!

Thank you! More information on Farm4more https://www.farm4more.ie



Michael Mandl tbw research GesmbH Grünberstraße 15, 1120 Vienna, Austria <u>m.mandl@tbwresearch.org</u>; www.tbwresearch.org

This project has received funding from the Executive Agency for Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (EASME) under grant agreement LIFE18 CCM/IE/001195 and from the Department of The Environment, Climate & Communication (DECC). The EASME receives support from the European Union's LIFE Programme.



An Roinn Comhshaoil, Aeráide agus Cumarsáide Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications



OVERVIEW FEEDING TESTS

- Biochar as feed additive for ruminates and chicken to evaluate
 - reduction of methane emission in cattle breeding,
 - reduction of ammonia emission in chicken fattening.
- Characterisation/ feed value of press cake and CP/AA concentrates
- Storability / Re-ensiling of press cake
- Grass silage press cake as ruminates feed (dairy cows, organic)
- CP/AA concentrates integrated in chicken feed
- Feeding test nearly finished, but data not yet complete analyzed

STORABILITY OF PRESS CAKE: RE-ENSILING

Re-ensiling of silage press-cakes after extraction small scale (60L) and round bales scale (app 800-1000kg)









Re-ensiling of silage press-cake works quite well (without additives needed) if done properly within 1 day after pressing.

25 May 2023

Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and developments in the regions across Europe - Finland

Research professor Marketta Rinne Natural Resources Institute Finland, Jokioinen www.luke.fi



Finnish conditions favour grass biomass production – cool, humid and short growing season

Grass

Peltoalan

Suomessa

Syysvehnä

Kevätvehnä

käyttö

Nurmi

Ruis

OhraKaura

Sekavilia

Muut viliat

SokerijuurikasRypsi/rapsi

Muut kasvit

Kesanto

Herne

Peruna

Grass DM yield is double compared with cereal grains





Perennial forage plant species absorb light efficiently during early season when annual fields are barren



Perennial forage plants have large root systems – efficient extraction of water and nutrients and large soil carbon stock



Currently green biomass can mainly be utilized through ruminants and converted to milk, meat and manure







Use of green biorefineries provides opportunities to use the green biomass for a variety of uses: protein feed for monogastrics / food, bioenergy, fertilizers, materials...



Dairy and beef production in Finland relies heavily on silage production

Our approach is to use ensiled forages as the raw material for green biorefining

Finland has strong traditions in silage making based on acid based additives

- A.I.Virtanen patented the use of acids in silage preservation in 1920's
- Virtanen was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1945
 - <u>http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/1945</u>
 /virtanen-bio.html
- The use of formic acid as a silage additive started in a large scale in 1960's







Ensiled biomass as the feedstock for a green biorefinery

Benefits:

- Stable raw material relatively stable products
- All-year around operation
- Silage making well established contractors available
- Higher liquid yield fermentation as a pretreatment

Challenges:

- Some degradation of protein but typically only 5 – 10 % of N in non-amino form (ammonia)
- Acidic precipitation of protein not possible
- Sugars are converted to fermentation end-products (mainly lactic and acetic acid)
- Smell, taste altered

Ensiling as a pretreatment for a biorefinery process – positive effects of fibrolytic enzyme application

	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	BORESOURCE
	Bioresource Technology	
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsavier.com/locate/biortech	
Fibrolytic enzyme	treatment prior to ensiling increased press-juice and	
crude protein viel	1 6	Check for
crude protein yield	a from grass shage	upsatos
Marketta Rinne ^{a,*} , Erika	a from grass snage a Winquist ^a , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{a,c} ,	uptatos
Marketta Rinne ^{a,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b	a Irom grass snage a Winquist ^a , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{a,c} ,	Appidentes
Marketta Rinne ^{8,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b	a Irom grass snage a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{a,c} , he), F-31600 Jokainen, Enland	upsens
Marketta Rinne ^{a,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b ⁴ Manzul Resources Institute Flaland (Lu ^b VTT Technical Research Centre of Pinla ⁶ Current aldress: Eastman, Typpide 1, F	a Irom grass snage a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{8, c} , he, FI-31600 Jokiainen, Enland and, P.O. Box 1000, FF-02044 VITT Espon, Finland 9-90620 Oulu, Finland	1072015
Marketta Rinne ^{8,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b ⁴ Natural Resources Institute Finland (Lu ^b YTT Technical Research Centre of Pinla ⁶ Current aldress: Eastman, Typpide 1, F	A IFOM Grass SHage a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{8, c} , he, FI-31600 Jokainen, Finland and, P.O. Box 1000, FF-02044 VITT Espon, Finland 94-90620 Oulu, Finland A B S T R A C T	10204
Marketta Rinne ⁸ .*, Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b ⁴ Nanaral Resources Institute Fialand (Lu ⁴ VTT Technical Research Contre of Pinla ⁶ Current address: Eastman, Typpide L F A R T I C L E I N F O Reyword:: Course Monofiners	a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{a,c} , <i>ike</i>), <i>FI-31600 Jokieinen, Finland</i> <i>and</i> , <i>PO</i> . <i>Box 1000</i> , <i>FI-02044 VIT Espon</i> , <i>Finland</i> <i>9-90620 Outu</i> , <i>Finland</i> A B S T R A C T Grass is a versatile raw material for green biorefineries and preserving it as slage pro- ted. The shorting of the summer tendor use on science of the biology of the biology of the biology of the biology of the science of the summer tendor use on science of the biology of the biology of the biology of the biology of the science of the scienc	ovides a year-round fe
Marketta Rinne ^{8,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b ⁴ Nanaral Resources Institute Fialand (Lu ⁴ VTT Technical Research Contre of Pinla ⁶ Current address: Eastman, Typpite L. F A R T I C L E I N F O Reyword: Green Diorefinery Enzyme hydrolysis	a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{8,C} , <i>ike</i>), <i>FI-31600 Jokieinen, Finland</i> <i>and</i> , <i>P.O. Fox 1000, FI-02044 VIT Espon, Finland</i> <i>4-90620 Outu, Finland</i> A B S T R A C T Grass is a versatile raw material for green biorefineries and preserving it as slage pn stock. The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of fibrolytic enzyme feedstock for a biorefinery. Two batches of grass (mixture of timothy and meadow feed	ovides a year-round fe application on silage a uc) silages were ensiled
Marketta Rinne ^{8,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b ⁴ Nanral Resources Institute Fialand (Lu ⁶ VIT Technical Research Centre of Pinle ⁶ Current address: Eastman, Typpite 1, F A R TICLE IN FO Keywordz Green biorefinery Enzyme hydrolysis Protein Liquid-solid separation	a Winquist ⁸ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{8,C} , ike), FI-31600 Jokieinen, Finland and, P.O. Bor 1000, FI-02044 VTT Espon, Finland 9-90620 Outu, Finland M B S T R A C T Gross is a versatile raw material for green biorefineries and preserving it as silage pu stock. The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of fibrolytic enzyme feedstock for a biorefinery. Two batches of grass (mixture of timothy and meadow fesc pilot scale after fibrolytic enzyme was applied to them at four levels. Insyme applied wradiation linearly during enalling and increased lactic and acatic acid come	ovides a year-round fe application on silage t ac) silages were ensiled ation increased fibre
Marketta Rinne ^{8,*} , Erika Matti Siika-aho ^b [*] Manaral Resources Institute Flaland (Lu ^b VTT Technical Research Centre of Pinlo ^c Current address: Eastman, Typtife 1, F A R T I C L E I N F O Koyword: Green biorefinery Enzyme hydrolysis Protein Liquid-solid separation Grass silage	a Winquist ⁶ , Ville Pihlajaniemi ^b , Piritta Niemi ^b , Arja Seppälä ^{a,c} , ike), FI-31600 Jokieinen, Finland and, P.O. Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT Espon, Finland 4-90620 Outu, Finland A B S T R A C T Gross is a versatile raw material for green biorefineries and preserving it as silage pu stock. The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of fibrolytic enzyme feedstock for a biorefinery. Two batches of grass (mixture of timothy and meadow feed plot scale after fibrolytic enzyme was applied to them at four levels. Insyme applied gradation linearly during ensiling and increased lactic and acetic acid conce Simultaneously, silage fermentation quality improved as indicated by decreasing PH an	ovides a year-round fe application on silage <i>t</i> ue) silages were ensiled ation increased fibre intrations in the sila id ammonia values. Pre

CP in press juice from the original biomass CP in response to fibrolytic enzyme adidtion



Received: 19 November 2018 Revised: 2 March 2019 Accepted: 11 March 2019

DOI: 10.1111/gfs.12421

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Grass and Forage Science M WILEY

How does silage composition affect liquid extraction in a biorefinery process?

Grass silage for biorefinery—A meta-analysis of silage factors affecting liquid-solid separation

Marcia Franco 😊 | Timo Hurme | Erika Winguist | Marketta Rinne 😳

Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Jokioinen, Finland

Abstract

Correspondence Marcia Franco, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Jokioinen, Finland. Email: marcia franco@luke.fi

Funding information Business Finland, Grant/Award Number: 1472/31/2015 This meta-analysis based on 19 studies from Finland comprising 43 grass silages was undertaken to evaluate the effect of silage quality on liquid yield, liquid composition and retained compounds in liquid using four different liquid-solid separation methods. Silages were classified according to species (grass, clover or a mixture of them), additive treatment (no, biological or formic acid-based additive) and harvest (primary growth or regrowth). A mixed model regression analysis with random study effect was used to evaluate the impact of silage characteristics on biorefinery efficiency. There was a large variation in silage quality in the data set. Silage dry-matter concentration was the characteristic most highly correlated with liquid yield for all separation methods, and when used as an independent variable in the model, it resulted in the best predictions. The liquid-solid separation methods presented a great variation in the liquid yield, ranging from 0.26 to 0.56 when silage dry-matter concentration was standardized to 250 g/kg. There was no effect of additive treatment and harvest in the estimation of the biorefinery potential, but species was a significant variable in predicting liquid yield for the laboratory-scale presses with higher liquid yield for mixed grass and legume. The high correlation between silage quality and liquid yield and liquid composition provides potential to predict the biorefinery potential based on equations developed for each separation method. This information can be used to modify the silage production systems so that they best meet the requirements of a green biorefinery process.

KEYWORDS biomass, fractionation, processing, screw press, separation

Meta-analysis quantified the effect of silage DM content on liquid yield – optimum around 25 % (?)



Source: Franco, M., Hurme, T., Winquist, E. & Rinne, M. 2019. Grass silage for biorefinery – A meta-analysis of silage factors affecting liquid-solid separation. Grass and Forage Science 74: 218-230, DOI: 10.1111/gfs.12421.

FIGURE 1 Prediction of liquid yield using regression equations based on silage dry matter (DM, g/kg) for different separation methods. FSS: farm-scale single-screw press; FTS: farm-scale twin-screw press; LPP: laboratory-scale pneumatic press; LTS: laboratory-scale twin-screw press.

Comparison of fresh, frozen, dried or ensiled grass regrading liquid and CP extraction efficency



The effects of grass biomass preservation methods, organic acid treatment and press type on the separation efficiency in the green biorefinery



Check for updates

Nisola Ayanfe^{*}, Marcia Franco, Tomasz Stefański, Nora Pap, Marketta Rinne

Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), FI-31600 Jokioinen, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywordz: Pretzeatment Press juice Biomass conversion Extraction Additive Fractionation Screw press

ĽΠ

Processing green biomass into novel products provides opportunities to improve the sustainability of the bioeconomy. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of biomass types (fresh, frozen-and-thawed, dried-and-rehydrated and ensiled grass) as well as formic and propionic acid-based additive on the efficiency of liquid-solid separation and crude protein (CP) yield. Three different pressing methods for liquid-solid separation were used. All preservation methods improved biorefinery efficiency compared to fresh grass, and the effect of additive was more profound on the fresh biomass than other materials. However, due to lower CP concentration in the liquid, presumably caused by lower nitrogen solubility, the amount of CP retained in the liquid was not improved in response to the additive treatment. The type of processing technology plays a key role in the extraction of relevant compounds from biomass. With less efficient separation methods, the effects of pretreatments were more pronounced.

Challenges

- Highly perishable (fresh grass, biorefinery fractions)
- Availability is seasonal
- Poor hygienic quality

Solutions (Preservation methods)?

- Ensiling
- Freezing
- Drying and rehydrating
- Organic acid application





Chemical composition of fresh and ensiled biomass

Grass treatment	Fresh	Ensiled	
Use of additive	Control	Control	FPA
Dry matter (DM), g kg ⁻¹	218	208	208
Crude protein, g kg-1 DM	123	122	123
рН	6.14	3.87	3.96
Buffering capacity, g lactic acid 100 g ⁻¹ DM	2.97	5	
Ammonia N, g kg ⁻¹ N		66.2	31.8
Soluble N, g kg ⁻¹ N	232	588	449
In DM, g kg-1			
Water soluble carbohydrates	125	38	106
Lactic acid		96	57
Acetic acid		26.2	17.5
Proponic acid		0.33	0.05
Butyric acid		0.05	0.05

Treatments of grass (freezing, drying or ensiling) increased CP retained in the liquid fraction comapred to fresh grass





Effects of biomass type and additive treatment on **CP** retained in liquid (g/g) using **LabPress**



Effects of biomass type and additive treatment on CP retained in liquid (g/g) using LabScrew





Green biorefinery can help balance the nutrient flows on a pig farm



Source: Tampio, E., Winquist, E., Luostarinen, S. & Rinne, M. 2019. A farm-scale grass biorefinery concept for a combined pig feed and biogas production. Water Science and Technology 80: 1043-1052. doi: 10.2166/wst.2019.356.

We are not solely concentrating on ensiled forages ©

Rinne, M., Franco, M., Stefanski, T., Ghalibaf, M., Fidelis, M., Järvenpää, E. & Pap. N. 2022. Legume biomasses produce high soluble protein yields in a green biorefinery concept. Proceedings of the 29th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation, Caen, France. June 26-30, 2022. Grassland Science in Europe 27: 268-270.

Red clover

White Clover

Green pea

Galega/Goat's rue Faba bean



NurmiProteiini – GrassProtein Protein from grass for feed and food

Aspects related to green biomass processing have been addressed in several projects. Currently "NurmiProteiini" is on-going.





Kuva: Luke/Marketta Rinne





How can investments be attracted?

How can the new business ecosystems be created? How can new product processes be optimized technically and economically?

Are consumers & EU ready to accept novel products?

A Special issue goming up in Grass and Forage Science about Green Biorefineries

Sustainable production systems are needed for feed and food proteins, biochemicals, biomaterials and bioenergy from green biomasses such as grasses and legumes. This special issue aims to contribute on the knowledge on research-driven development of a new biobased industry from sustainable grassland crops with the aim of producing sustainable feed and food protein, materials and services for the green transition.

Guest editor: Marketta Rinne









Green biorefinery opportunities, challenges and developments - Sweden

Christel Cederberg

Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg

DANISH GO-GRASS INTERNATIONAL EVENT ON GREEN BIOREFINING Aarhus University Foulum, Denmark 24 May 2023



Green biorefinery R&D activities in Sweden

Agriculture highschool Sotasen



Test pilot, "farm-scale size" in the Interreg project Green Valley 2.0 R&D on e.g. tech development, feeding, system analysis (incl economy) Researchers: SLU (Skara, Uppsala), Chalmers Univ Tech, Rise

Projects: PlantProteinFactory 2018-22 SLU Alnarp GreenLeaFood and Green2Feed 2022-Grint4Pro 2023-

Lab scale

Swedish University of Agriculture, SLU Alnarp





Cropland use in Sweden



Temporary leys and perm grassland foremost in inland, and mid+ north SE



http://www.cec.lu.se/sv/forskning/multifunktionella-landskap

- Temporary leys and cereals on around 80% of SE cropland
- Exports of cereals (15-20% of yearly production)
- Imports of protein for animal production (soymeal and rapeseed meal)
- Imports of vegetable protein for direct human consumption

Arable farming, dominated by cereals in the plains in southern SE





Soil monitoring program shows lower Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) in agricultural regions with dominating annual crops

Soil Org Matter, % 6,0 - 12 5,0 - 5,99 4,0 - 4,99 3,0 - 3,99 <3

Swedish monitoring program on status for arable soils concerning content of organic matter, pH, nutrients and trace elements conditions (>2000 sampling points)

Soil sample data from the Swedish monitoring program of arable soil. Naturvårdsverkets rapport no 6349

Karta 4. Helt organiskt material i matjorden. Data från omdrev 1 och 2 sammarelagna. Antal värden 5 179.

Map 4. Organic matter content in the topsoil. Data from sampling series 1 and 2 combined (n = 5 179).

Relation dominance of annual crops and cumulative SOC losses



Swe Univ Agric (SLU) has several long term field trials, important for our knowledge on SOC changes in soils, example here from Skåne and V Götaland



Börjesson, G., Bolinder, M. A., Kirchmann, H., & Kätterer, T. (2018). Organic carbon stocks in topsoil and subsoil in long-term ley and cereal monoculture rotations. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *54*(4), 549-558





Crop rotations

Sequences of (different) crops at field level during a number of years

Diversifying crop rotations means many agronomic gains, e.g.

- Better weed and pest management
- Improved soil properties and better soil fertility
- Higher yields
- Higher soil carbon sequestration (or reduced soil carbon losses)
- Enhance biodiversity, both at field level and at landscape level

Grass-clover in rotations on cropland Typically 2-4 years with grass-clover and 2-4 years with annual crops

Diversifying roations with perennial crops (grass, leguminous such clover, alfa-alfa) adds on extra to the diversity gains


Opportunities



- Farmers' interest in improving soil fertility, disease pressure, resilience etc with more diversified crop rotations
- Grass/clover ley is a well known crop, easy to implement at large scales
- Large societal interest for increasing domestic protein production
- Large societal interest for increased production of biomethane
- Increasing interest for national CO2 Removals (CDR)
- Many environmental problems associated with present agriculture can be reduced (leaching, pesticde use, biodiversity loss)

Challenges

- Business models many, difficult to navigate..
- … Logistics, planning depending on business model, site- specific conditions (many possibilities/challenges....)
- Economy of course.... (very important factor is stable protein-yields in the biorefinery and a market for the proteins, and also societal economic benefit, e.g. carbon credits)
- (Some) farmers' not so change-oriented...
- Social acceptance in rural community (probably not a general issue but could be)...

One example of case, Bioref integrate with biogas-prod with crops and manure, ley crops added, green protein new prod, press cake feedstock for biomethane



Many biobased products and many possible uses

Roles of green biorefineries in sustainability transitions likely to vary as countries differ concerning natural conditions, industry&energy infrastructure, agriculture&forestry, and political goals



Developments

- R&D need to work in close collaboration with industry, farmers and society
- Technology development for improved yields, new products from fibre, efficient production etc...
- Holistic approach in system analysis (economy, environment, food and energy security)

Thank you for your attention contact information



Projects SLU Alnarp

Anna-Lovisa Nynäs anna-lovisa.nynas@slu.se

• Green Valleys 2.0

Christel Cederberg christel.cederberg@chalmers.se

Håkan Rosenqvist hak.rosenqvist@telia.com



Green Biorefinery Challenges & Opportunities Ireland

James Gaffey 23.05.2023









Share of total area by type and land cover (%), 2018

	Total area (km2)	Woodland and shrubland	Cropland	Grassland	Water areas and wetland; bareland	Artificial
EU	4 125 107	46.8	24.2	17.4	7.3	4.2
Belgium	30 666	27.8	29.1	28.2	3.3	11.7
Bulgaria	110 996	48.8	32.3	14.7	2.0	2.3
Czechia	78 871	39.3	33.7	20.1	2.4	4.4
Denmark	42 925	21.9	47.7	19.7	3.8	6.9
Germany	357 569	35.7	32.3	20.8	37	7.6
Estonia	45 336	58.7	12.9	16.2	4 57	0/ 1.7
Ireland	69 947	24.2	5.5	57.7	37	4.2
Greece	131 694	57.6	20.5	13.8	grass	land 4.0
Spain	498 502	50.1	27.4	12.8	6.0	3.7
France	549 060	36.0	29.9	24.6	3.8	5.7
Croatia	56 594	59.2	16.6	17.4	3.7	3.2
Italy	302 072	41.2	31.7	16.4	4.2	6.6
Cyprus	9 253	46.5	30.4	10.9	6.0	6.2
Latvia	64 585	56.0	15.4	20.9	5.9	1.7
Lithuania	65 284	39.6	32.0	21.9	4.3	2.1
Luxembourg	2 595	36.9	21.8	32.9	1.1	7.4
Hungary	93 012	28.2	43.5	17.5	6.8	4.0
Malta	316	16.9	28.7	18.5	8.4	27.5
Netherlands	37 377	16.8	23.0	34.2	13.3	12.6
Austria	83 878	48.5	15.9	24.2	7.3	4.2
Poland	311 929	37.6	34.7	20.7	3.3	3.6
Portugal	89 103	56.2	16.3	15.8	5.3	6.4
Romania	238 398	37.0	32.6	22.9	4.7	2.8
Slovenia	20 273	65.8	11.0	17.8	1.2	4.3
Slovakia	49 035	49.5	27.5	17.6	2.0	3.4
Finland	338 411	69.6	5.3	5.7	17.6	1.7
Sweden	447 424	68.5	4.0	5.5	20.1	1.8



The "green island"

Source: Eurostat (online data code: lan_lcv_ovw)



The "not so green island"





The protein challenge



More co-ops import fodder to address 'critical' shortage

A number of milk processors and mart operators have sourced fodder overseas or are planning to import to bridge the fodder supply gap.



SEARCH Q

DAIRY SHEEP AGRIBUSINESS MACHINERY TILLAGE PEDIGREE BUILDINGS PROP

Sustainable protein essential going forward

As consumers become more aware of where their food comes from, there is a growing demand for more sustainable feed ingredients.

Siobhán Walsh MORE > CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT 11 August 2021



M&S cuts soya from production of milk to curb deforestation

UK retailer worked with dairy farms to end use of destructive cattle feed, but critics say move could 'shift problem elsewhere'

2.3m tonnes of imported animal feed was genetically modified



Aisling O'Brien November 23, 2022 12:30 pm





Diversification and Biorefineries as part of the Just transition

Department anaerobic digestion strategy in the works

Grass will be the primary feedstock of anaerobic digesters planned to reduce carbon emissions.



Opinion: the untapped potential of bioenergy in Irish agriculture

A blindspot exists in Irish policy and support towards bioenergy compared to other renewable energy technologies, writes Seán Finan, CEO of the Irish Bioenergy Association.



MTU

Ollscoil Teicneolaíochta na Mumhan Munster Technological University

The time has come for a Just Transition in agriculture







Improving the protein efficiency of grass





Livestock Science Volume 267, January 2023, 105135



Biorefined press cake silage as feed source for dairy cows: effect on milk production and composition, rumen fermentation, nitrogen and phosphorus excretion and *in vitro* methane production

E. Serra * 2 🛛 , M.B. Lynch ^b, J. Gaffey ^c, J.P.M. Sanders ^d, S. Koopmans ^d, M. Markiewicz-Keszycka ^{*}, <u>M.H. Bock</u> ^{*}, <u>Z.C. McKay</u> ^{*}, <u>K.M. Pierce</u> ^{*}

Show more V

+ Add to Mendeley 🧠 Share 🗦 Cite

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2022.105135 >

Get rights and content #



Succeeding logether

Treatment						
tem	GS	PC				
DMI (kg DM/d)	19.33	18.00				
eed efficiency	1.31	1.27				
Vilk yield (kg/d)	28.02	27.33				
Io significant difference in milk quality						
Nitrogen Intake and Output						
ntake kg/d						
Feed N Intake (kg/d)	0.71ª	0.61 ^b				
V output (kg/d)						
Vilk	0.19	0.18				
aeces	0.23ª	0.19 ^b				
Jrine	0.27ª	0.22 ^b				
NUE (%)	27.33°	31.90 ^b				
Methane Emissions Analysis Rusitec						
Gas production	1.31	1.26				
Methane (mmol/d)	6.61	5.71				

Making grass protein accessible for pigs







Article Production of Green Biorefinery Protein Concentrate Derived from Perennial Ryegrass as an Alternative Feed for Pigs

Rajeev Ravindran^{1,+}, Sybrandus Koopmans², Johan P. M. Sanders², Helena McMahon¹ and James Gaffey¹

- ¹ Circular Bioeconomy Research Group (CIRCBIO), Shannon Applied Biotechnology Centre, Murster Technological University, Dromtacker, V92 CX88 Tralee, Ireland; helena.mcmahon@mtu.ie (H.M.); James Gaffey@mtu.ie (J.G.)
- ² Grassa BV, 5928 SZ Venlo, The Netherlands; bram@grassa.nl (S.K.); johan@grassa.nl (J.P.M.S.)

* Correspondence: rajeev.ravindran@mtu.ie

Abstract: Perennial rye grass is a widely used forage species in Ireland, on which the ruminant sector of agriculture is heavily dependent. While this species of grass is the primary source of fodder for cows, it is also abundant in plant protein, which could form a potential alternative ingredient in monogastric animal feed using a green biorefinery approach. In this study, perennial rye grass was



Succeeding Together

Date of	Daily Feed Intake (kg/d)		Feed Conve	ersion Ratio	Average Daily Gain (kg/day)	
Weighing	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control
Period 1	1.022	0.991	1.77	1.67	0.577	0.592
Period 2	1.247	1.182	1.83	1.83	0.683	0.646
Period 3	1.386	1.301	1.90	1.86	0.729	0.699
Period 4	1.512	1.400	2.04	2.05	0.742	0.682

Results of weaner trial comparing treatment (grass-protein based) v/s control diet

Feed Source	Crude Protein	Lysine	Methionine	Cysteine	Threonine	Crude Fibre
Soybean Meal	44 – 48	2.81 – 3.20	0.60 – 0.75	0.69 – 0.74	0.71 – 2.00	3.0 – 7.0
Sunflower Meal	24 – 44	1.18 – 1.49	0.74 – 0.79	0.55 – 0.59	1.21 – 1.48	12.0 - 32.0
Rapeseed Meal	34 – 36	2.00 – 2.12	0.67 – 0.75	0.54 – 0.91	1.53 – 2.21	10.0 – 15.0
Cottonseed Meal	24 – 41	1.05 – 1.71	0.41 - 0.72	0.64 – 0.70	1.32 – 1.36	25.0 - 30.0
Grass protein (Biorefinery Glas)	33.9	1.81	0.65	0.18	1.5	6.1
Grass protein (FZC						
to date	42.8	2.03	0.72	0.21	1.71	3.9
unpublishedj						

Diversification – and not only grass to gas







Open Access Article

Biogas, Biomethane and Digestate Potential of By-Products from Green Biorefinery Systems

- by 😮 Rajeev Ravindran ¹ ⊠, 😮 Kwame Donkor ² ⊠, 😵 Lalitha Gottumukkala ² ⊠, 😵 Abhay Menon ¹ ⊠, S Amita Jacob Guneratnam ¹ ⊠, 😮 Helena McMahon ¹ ⊠, 🏖 Sybrandus Koopmans ³ ⊠, S Johan P. M. Sanders ^{3,4} ⊠ and ⊗ James Gaffey ^{1,*} ⊠
- ¹ Circular Bioeconomy Research Group, Shannon Applied Biotechnology Centre, Munster Technology, V92 CX88 Tralee, Ireland
- ² Celignis Limited, Unit 11 Holland Road, Plassey Technology Park, Castletroy, Co., V94 7Y42 Limerick, Ireland
- ³ Grassa BV, Campus Building Villa Flora, Box 72, Villafloraweg 1, 5928 SZ Venlo, The Netherlands
- ⁴ Biobased Chemistry and Technology, Wageningen University and Research, Bornse Weildanden 9, 6708 WG Wageningen, The Netherlands
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Clean Technol. 2022, 4(1), 35-50; https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol4010003

Received: 13 November 2021 / Revised: 13 December 2021 / Accepted: 6 January 2022 / Published: 17 January 2022

(This article belongs to the Special Issue Feature Papers for Clean Technologies 2021)

Download

Browse Figures Versions Notes

Abstract

Global warming and climate change are imminent threats to the future of humankind. A shift from the current reliance on fossil fuels to renewable energy is key to mitigating the impacts of climate change. Biological raw materials and residues can play a key role in this transition through technologies such as anaerobic digestion. However, biological raw materials must also meet other existing food, feed and material needs. Green biorefinery is an innovative concept in which green biomass, such as grass, is processed to obtain a variety of protein products, value-added coproducts and renewable energy, helping to meet many needs from a single source. In this study, an analysis has been conducted to understand the renewable energy potential of green biorefinery by-products and residues, including grass whey, de-FOS whey and press cake. Using anaerobic digestion, the biogas and biomethane potential

www.mtu.ie

Succeeding Together

Bringing farmers on board

ĸ

Order Article Reprint

Open Access Article

An Analysis of Irish Dairy Farmers' Participation in the Bioeconomy: Exploring Power and Knowledge Dynamics in a Multi-actor EIP-AGRI Operational Group

by 🛞 Kieran Harrahill 1.2.3.* 🖂 💿 🛞 Áine Macken-Walsh 2.3, 🔗 Eoin O'Neill 1.3 💿 and 🛞 Mick Lennon 1 💿

- ¹ School of Architecture, Planning & Environmental Policy, University College Dublin, D14 E099 Dublin, Ireland
- ² Teagasc-The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority, Rural Economy & Development Programme, Mellows Campus, Athenry, H65 A063 Galway, Ireland
- ³ BiOrbio—SFI Bioeconomy Research Centre, University College Dublin, D04 V1W8 Dublin, Ireland
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Sustainability 2022, 14(19), 12098; https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912098

Received: 19 July 2022 / Revised: 14 September 2022 / Accepted: 19 September 2022 / Published: 24 September 2022

(This article belongs to the Collection Sustaining Rural Innovation: Reflexivity, Diversity and Co-creation)



Abstract

The European Commission's European Innovation Partnership for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability (EIP-AGRI), part of the European Commission's Europe 2020 strategy, aims to 'achieve more and better from less' by bringing together a diversity of innovation actors to harness their combined knowledges to creatively achieve sustainability goals. The creation and novel use of biomaterials remains both a significant challenge and opportunity and bringing together all the relevant actors from primary production through to refinement and processing is anticipated to make progress in bringing into practice pilot operational approaches on the ground. For the bioeconomy, a nascent sector, it is a significant challenge for it to become established; grow; innovate and engage all the relevant actors. It has been noted internationally that primary producers, among other cohorts, remain marginalised from bioeconomy activities, which significantly compromises how inclusive and innovative the bioeconomy is likely to be henceforth. In this context, an interesting case study is the *Biorefinery Glas* Operational Group (OG), located in Ireland. The OG was a 'small-scale-farmer-led green biorefinery supporting farmer diversification into the circular bioeconomy'. The central research question of this paper concerns the dynamics of

Succeeding Together





Next Steps

AD: Department provides €3 million funding to UCD and MTU



Charles O'Donnell January 31, 2023 12:45 pm





Consultations

Publica

Press release

gov.ie

Ministers McConalogue and Heydon announce €3 million for integrated anaerobic digestion and green biorefining demonstration initiative

Departments

Succeeding Together



Biotechnology Advances 66 (2023) 108168



Research review paper

Green Biorefinery systems for the production of climate-smart sustainable products from grasses, legumes and green crop residues

James Gaffey^{a,b,c,*}, Gaurav Rajauria^{a,b}, Helena McMahon^{a,b}, Rajeev Ravindran^{a,b}, Carmen Dominguez^{a,b}, Morten Ambye-Jensen^d, Macella F. Souza^e, Erik Meers^e, Marta Macias Aragonés^f, Dubravka Skunca^g, Johan P.M. Sanders^{h,i}

^a Circular Bioeconomy Research Group, Shannon Applied Biotechnology Centre, Munster Technological University, Tralee V92 CX88, Ireland

- ^b BiOrbic Bioeconomy Research Centre, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
- ^c Dept. of Environmental Engineering, University of Limerick, Castletroy, Limerick V94 T9PX, Ireland
- ^d Aarhus University, Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Nørregade 44, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
- e Laboratory of Bioresource Recovery (RE-SOURCE LAB), Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- ¹ Technological Corporation of Andalusia (CTA), C Albert Einstein S/N, INSUR building, 4th floor, 41092 Seville, Spain
- g Faculty of Business and Law, MB University, Teodora Drajzera 27, 11040 Belgrade, Serbia
- h Grassa BV, Villafloraweg 1, 5928, SZ Venlo, the Netherlands
- ¹ Valorization of Plant Production Chains, Wageningen University, Bornse Weilanden 9, 6708 WG Wageningen, the Netherlands

ARTICLEINFO

Keywords: Biorefinery Grass Carbohadenter

ABSTRACT

Grasses, legumes and green plant wastes represent a ubiquitous feedstock for developing a bioeconomy in regions across Europe. These feedstocks are often an important source of ruminant feed, although much remains unused or underutilised. In addition to proteins, these materials are rich in fibres, sugars, minerals and other components



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv. 2023.108168

Succeeding Together

References



- Serra, E., Lynch, M.B., Gaffey, J., Sanders, J.P.M., Koopmans, S., Markiewicz-Keszycka, M., Bock, M.H., McKay, Z.C. and Pierce, K.M., 2023. Biorefined press cake silage as feed source for dairy cows: effect on milk production and composition, rumen fermentation, nitrogen and phosphorus excretion and in vitro methane production. Livestock Science, 267, p.105135.
- <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2022.105135</u>
- Ravindran, R., Koopmans, S., Sanders, J.P., McMahon, H. and Gaffey, J., 2021. Production of Green biorefinery protein concentrate derived from perennial ryegrass as an alternative feed for pigs. Clean Technologies, 3(3), pp.656-669.
- <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol3030039</u>
- Ravindran, R., Donkor, K., Gottumukkala, L., Menon, A., Guneratnam, A.J., McMahon, H., Koopmans, S., Sanders, J.P. and Gaffey, J., 2022. Biogas, biomethane and digestate potential of by-products from green biorefinery systems. Clean Technologies, 4(1), pp.35-50.
- https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol4010003
- Harrahill, K., Macken-Walsh, Á., O'Neill, E. and Lennon, M., 2022. An Analysis of Irish Dairy Farmers' Participation in the Bioeconomy: Exploring Power and Knowledge Dynamics in a Multi-actor EIP-AGRI Operational Group. Sustainability, 14(19), p.12098.
- <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912098</u>
- Gaffey, J., Rajuaria, G., McMahon, H., Ravindran, R., Dominguez, C., Jensen, M.A., Souza, M.F., Meers, E., Aragonés, M.M., Skunca, D. and Sanders, J.P., 2023. Green Biorefinery systems for the production of climate-smart sustainable products from grasses, legumes and green crop residues. Biotechnology Advances, p.108168.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2023.108168

Succeeding Together



Thank You!

For more information, please email james.gaffey@mtu.ie

James Gaffey 23.05.2023





GO-GRASS International Event, Foulum 23-24 May 2023 Steffen Adler, NIBIO



- Ongoing projects in Norway
- Opportunities
- Challenges
- Development





- Animal husbandry and aquaculture are depending on imported feed protein
- Trends in Norwegian agriculture
 - Less dairy cows
 - More beef cattle
 - Decreasing number of farms
 - Loss of culture landscape and biodiversity
- Goal to increase self-sufficiency in feed
- Biorefinery approach is based on recent development in Denmark









Previous studies in Norway

- Experiments with **silage effluents** (Randby et al. 1990, 1991, 1992, 1995)
- Small-scale screw press experiment (Norsvin, NLR, 2016, Langeland, Mutsaers)
- **Gress juice for pigs** (Gjerlaug, 2017; Johansen, Hjelkrem, 2018; Adler et al., 2018)
- ProRefine, biorefinery of **forage legumes** in organic farming (2018-2022, Adler et al., 2020, Micke et al., in prep.)
- GreenPoultry (2019, Johanssen et al., 2020)
- Foods of Norway (NMBU)



Ongoing studies in Norway

- NØFF, protein feeds in organic farming (NIBIO, 2021-2023)
- SUSFEED, feed systems in Norway's agri- and aquacultural sectors (Ruralis, 2021-2025)
- ONETWO (NIBIO, 2023-2025, Kismul et al., 2023)

Facilities

• Pilot plant NIBIO Steinkjer



Opportunities

- Good conditions for forage production
- Animal production and aquaculture sector request sustainable feed
- Leys are beneficial in crop rotation
- Maintanance of grasslands important to mainatin landscape value
- Wider product spectrum



Challanges

- High-cost investments
- Technology
- Scale
- Agronomy
- Preservation ethcniques

- Labour demands
- Logistics
- Business models
- Economy
- Sustainability



Project ONETWO

- 2023-2025
- Biorefinery methods
- Pulp as forage for dairy cows
- Green protein concentrate for broiler chicken
- Business models
- Sustainability

Project partners

NIBIO, Ruralis, Aarhus University, TINE, Felleskjøpet fôrutvikling, Scandi Energy, Orkel Direkte



Funding

• Forskningsmidlene for jordbruk og matindustri



We are looking forward to cooperate with you!



Thank you for listening!



Steffen Adler, Haldis Kismul, Ghulam Qasim Khan, Abirami R. Ganesan, Hanne Mæhre, Gjermund Bahr, Dmitry Kechasov, Jørgen Mølmann +++





GO-GRASS: White Paper for grassland opportunities

Nathalie Bargues (Greenovate! Europe) and Karen Hamann (IFAU) 24 May 2023



White Paper on Grassland Opportunities



Objective of the White Paper:

This White Paper aims to demonstrate a wide range of opportunities for valorising grasslands based on the findings from GO-GRASS cases, and relevant best practices at local, national, and European levels.

The focus is on value chains, enabling business environments, policy gaps and best practices for policies that promote valorisation of grasslands and grasses.







GO-GRASS

White Paper on Grassland Opportunities

Context and background:

Grassland is an important type of land use due to its large surface and the large number of ecosystem services it provides, such as **carbon sequestration**, **enhancing biodiversity and protecting water**.



To boost the development of a bio-based economy as a contribution to the EU's climate goal of achieving net zero emissions by 2050, there is a need for **business models** that can be replicated in a variety of locations and contexts, with relatively low levels of investment, risk and technical sophistication.

A wider range of rural entrepreneurs needs to get involved in the emerging bio-based business sector, including farmers, forest owners, their associations, policy makers, small rural businesses and advisors. This is key to **diversify and revitalise** the economy and create quality jobs in rural areas.





White Paper on Grassland Opportunities

The policy recommendations are designed to support **European decision makers and regulators, planning and rural development agencies, and local authorities** to develop targeted policies for a circular and sustainable use of grassland in collaboration with researchers, networks, and farmers.

CONTENT:

- The **needs and current challenges** for grassland valorisation in Europe;
- Grasslands is a key resource to revitalise rural areas, explaining the **opportunities** for their valorisation
 - Innovative technologies and value chains that can contribute to the development of new circular grass-based business models;
 - How innovative grass-based business models are supported by suitable business environments;
 - The main **policy gaps** that need to be addressed to improve value creation of grasslands and **best practices** (INTERREG, LIFE, H2020): CAP Pillar I and Pillar II, land use management, policy recommendations for biogas, biochar, paper derived products, animal bedding, grass-derived proteins,

(\mathbb{D})

White Paper on Grassland Opportunities - Recommendations

GO-GRASS



- Design policies that promote opportunities for diversification in the sense of new grass-based value chains, diverse demand patterns and business models and markets.
- Maintain the area of grasslands at Member State level as part of the greening measures of the CAP.
- Remove contradictory and restrictive legislation which currently limits the potential of carbon removal through grasslands.
- Recognise the importance of carbon content in grassland soils and show willingness to increase this in agricultural soils.
- Create the possibility for farmers to work on increasing the carbon content of their soils at a feasible and understandable administrative level.
- Create financial incentives to encourage land manager engagement in carbon farming. A formalised carbon credits system as proposed by the EU Carbon Removal Certification can help to increase the market for grass as a resource for the bioeconomy. Carbon credits can reduce the selling price for grass and, hence, increase demand.
- Develop monitoring systems to identify trade-offs in ecosystem services and reduce the environmental footprint of new business activities.

White Paper on Grassland Opportunities - Recommendations

MEASURES AT NATIONAL & (REGIONAL LEVEL

Take a holistic view to valorising grassland biomass, covering environmental, climatic, social-economic, and technological perspectives. Potential support of government incentives and regulatory-push effect need to be coordinated.

GO-GRASS

- Support conversion of arable land into grassland to preserve the environment, build up soil carbon, and facilitate the delivery of resources for biorefineries that can produce feed, food, materials, and bioenergy.
- Develop specific actions supporting the maintenance of grasslands threatened by abandonment and provide targeted policy support to maintain the ecosystem services related to grasslands (fire control, tourism, biodiversity, high soil carbon content).
- Align fertiliser regulations at EU and national levels and provide policy support and advisory services for small- to medium- scale circular biochar business at national or regional level.



SUPPORT MEASURES



- Increase awareness of the benefits of grasslands through training, workshops, and outreach to consumers via non-specialised media.
- Develop ready to use business solutions sold or made available through licensing.
- Organise engaging and open policy dialogues to discuss best practices.
- Establish adequate knowledge transfer actions that allow farmers to understand the new products delivered from grasslands.
- Promote the establishment of farmers cooperatives.

Recommendations - Grass-based proteins

GO-GRASS

The technique to produce protein products is based on specific types of grasses and legumes, and it should be adapted and expanded considering the different composition they have in their grasslands.

This may be reached through the implementation of **operational groups** in those countries.

The value of new permanent grassland areas to increase soil carbon content and of old grasslands to keep a high stock of soil carbon should be included in the **EU carbon removal tools**.


Recommendations – Animal Bedding

GO-GRASS

The **technique** to develop products is based on specific types of grasses, which could be **expanded to other countries** where animal bedding products are in shortage.

An analysis of the potential use of different types of grass as raw material for animal bedding and a comparison with the competitor's end-products should be carried out at demos sites level.

The funding from operational groups could be key to develop the **business models across Europe.**





Recommendations - Biochar

GO-GRASS

The production of biochar should be directly fostered by policy makers in the different regions of Europe.

The biochar production should consider social aspects linked to the farming context where farm size, number of cooperatives linked to environment uncertainties associated with the grass production should be carefully considered.

Improvement of access to organic fertiliser from residues and waste, should provide opportunities for the producers of grass biochar.

Member States should include this mitigation activity as part of the IPCC accounting and the **certification scheme of carbon removals** to help reach net zero emissions.





Recommendations – Grass-based paper

GO-GRASS

The innovative use of grass to deliver grass-based paper products means that this business model is in a very early stage of development.

Member States should support either the development of new companies with this business model or help in the **transformation of already existing treebased paper production companies.**

F F F

This could be carried out through direct payments or tax reduction incentives. **Payments in the future should be governed by the actual benefits and negative effects of producing and harvesting grasslands and forests respectively.**



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement **N° 862674**





The policy formulation should consider:

- the level of readiness of the business models in the starting phase when the farmers and rural entrepreneurs are more dependent on economic support to have adequate infrastructures,
- the access to the grid network and training to produce biogas,
- and the creation of a **business environment** that supports the use of the biogas by end-users.

From a CAP point of view, both the agri-food system and the farm scale should be incorporated to account for the benefits of using the grass as part of fuel production, ensuring direct **CAP payments for the grasslands delivering the grass within the climate neutrality goal of the EU Green Deal**.



GO-GRASS



What is the GO-GRASS project?

GO-GRASS

GO-GRASS is a Horizon 2020 project which is developing circular and sustainable business models that can be used by entrepreneurs, local authorities and other stakeholders.

Since October 2019, the project connects 22 partners from 8 countries, which are developing small-scale demonstration sites in four EU regions (Denmark, Germany, Sweden, and the Netherlands).



The project is testing and replicating the technologies and business models in regions of Spain, Romania, and Hungary.

This is enabling effective use of grassland, being left to decay after mowing, causing costs and lost benefits for individuals and society.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement **N° 862674**





Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries of Denmark Danish Agricultural Agency



Green biorefining from political ambition to implementation of new subsidies in Denmark

GO-GRASS International event 24. of May 2023

I will cover...

- **% The political landscape**
- % From ambition to reality
- The stepping stones
- **Creating awareness**



Grøn bioraffinering

Hvad og hvorfor grøn bioraffinering?



Ministeriet for Fødevarer, Landbrug og Fiskeri Landbrugsstyrelsen

Green biorefining: From ambition to real life

Two new subsidies to expand green biorefining in Denmark



Feasibility Study of a Green Biorefinery

The two subsidies are independent from each other



Establishment of a Green Biorefinery

A brief status



Feasibility Study of a Green Biorefinery

The first application round closed 26. January 2023 12 applicants applied for between 50.000 and 120.000 Euro In total 1,3 million Euro – twice as much as expected



Establishment of Green Biorefinery

The first application round opens 1. November 2023 The legal framework is in public hearing until 30. may 2023



RAPPORT

Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries of Denmark Danish Agricultural Agency



The subsidy scheme: Feasibility Study of a Green Biorefinery



Impact

Enable the different players to investigate and asses the potential for establishing a green biorefinery.



Regulatory basis Measure programmed in the Rural Development Program (RDP, pillar II) Co-operation Measure (Art. 35, M 16)



The project must be

A co-operation between at least to independent players (co-operations) At least one farmer



Financing

The eligible expenses are 100 pct. EU-funded The current financial pool is 2 million Euro.



Yearly round of application 2022 - 2024

Project content

- The players have 12 months to prepare a feasibility study
- The projects final conclusion:
 - a) the project is viable, b) has the potential to be or c) isn't viable







In brief Establishment of a Green Biorefinery

The subsidy scheme: Establishment of a Green Biorefinery

in protein feed, thus contributing to the green transformation



8



The biorefinery must after the maximum of 24 months be able to Refine grass, clover, alfalfa, etc. to protein concentrate for animal feed for monogastrics The protein concentrate has to have minimum 35 pct. crude protein per dry matter content

To expand green biorefineries in DK so the Danish agriculture becomes more self-sufficient





Financing The eligible expenses are 65 pct. EU-funded The current financial pool is 33 million Euro

Intervention in CAP Strategic Plan (CSP, pillar II)

Yearly round of application 2023 – 2025

Impact

Regulatory basis

Investment (Art. 73)



The focus of the subsidy





Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries of Denmark Danish Agricultural Agency

Models for prioritizing the applicants

EU regulations states that prioritization is mandatory





Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries of Denmark Danish Agricultural Agency



How did we get there

The stepping stones

Establishing the knowledge base Contributions from Aarhus University - Fall 2022

The template for the feasibility study Online user tests with 4 players – Feb. 2022

Designing the legal framework Written feedback and dialogue with 11 stakeholders – Jan. 2022

Design of models for prioritization Written input and workshop with 3 experts Dec. 2021

Gathering knowledge

Interviews with existing players June 2021





Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries of Denmark Danish Agricultural Agency



How did we create awareness

Creating awareness

Stakeholder involvement

Key issue for our minister



Conference – Green Biorefining from Idea to Real Life



Key points

- ***** To succeed, the players need to co-operate
- Immature markets calls for engagement of stakeholders
- Flexibility and simplicity needs to be balanced





BioRefine Denmark A/S

Laila Thirup 24th May 2023

BioRefine

- BioRefine was established 2020. The plant ready for the first season May 2021
- Owned by danish farmes through DLG, Danish Agro and DLF
- Plant capacity: 40 ton biomass/hour
- Cover nearly 3000 ha, aim for 4500 ton organic protein concentrate/year





Need for protein + global warming = Need for new solutions





Protein from clover grass and alfalfa is climate-friendly:

- Locally produced protein from clover grass has a better climate-profile than soy; and deforestation can be avoided
- A clover grass crop release less CO2 than a cereal crop

Bi&Refine

Perspective

- Import of organic soy to Denmark: 50.000 ton/year
- Total import of soy to Denmark: ~1,5 mill ton/year
- Total import of soy to EU: ~30 mill ton/year





Process



Product to market

BioRefines protein concentrate is purchased by two of its owners: DLG and Danish Agro

They use the product in compound feed for poultry and pigs

Several feed trials with poulty and pigs has been conducted by Aarhus University, developing the process

The color of the product ensures a yellow egg yolk when used by layers

BioRefine is GMP+ B2 certified (feed safety)



Bid**Refine**

Harvest management



Contract farmers

BioRefine organize harvest (when and where)

Harvest: Agricultural service supply contractor

Harvest management



- Timing of harvest is essential for max protein content
- Wheather has a huge impact on raw material quality
- Raw material needs to be fresh. Durability a challenge when chopped in the field
- Only cutting at the rootbase result in biomass with 3 times lower density, massive need for more trucks, and is unmature harvesttechnology

Economical challenges, and wishes for new policy to support green biorefineries

- Price for clovergrass and alfalfa: hard to compete with other crops as cereals, or even grass that go directly to biogas plants. This calls for a change in agricultural subsidies; to support farmers who grow crops for green biorefineries
- A future CO2 charge in the agricultural sector will benefit climate friendly products as BioRefines
- The side-streams fiber and brownjuice can go to biogas, but price is uncertain in a 10-15 years perspective
- Further value creation, enabling production of food quality protein in co-production with feed-protein, or develop higher value products of side-streams is important!



Bi Refine



Unlocking the full economical and sustainability potential of grass

Johan Sanders, Bram Koopmans, Bob Lambrechts, Wim van Doorn





for rural agri-food value chains

DANISH GO-GRASS INTERNATIONAL EVENT ON GREEN BIOREFINING Aaurhus University Foulum, Denmark

23 - 24 May 2023

Problems to solve

- 2/3 of all agricultural land in the world is used for animal feed; further biodiversity losses should be stopped or better reversed
- We now have to feed 8 billion people with at least 60 gram protein per day, within the Planetary boundary of 90 M tonnes/ year. This means 12 kg Nr per person/year. In the Netherlands we need 24 kg/person.year. In EU we need 36 kg/person.year.
- Dutch policy is to reduce the number of animals. This will cost 24 billion € to reduce nitrogen deposition on Natura 2000 areas and move our problem 200 km to the East!
- Protein supply will become scarce in the world.
- The only solution is to become much more efficient with the use of nitrogen.
- We need radical changes:
 - Inputs of Nitrogen in Agriculture should be reduced
 - Increase protein and nitrogen use efficiency
 - Increase revenues per ha for farmers
 - local for local (no long transports, no import of minerals)





Figure 1: Beyond the boundary. The inner green shading represents the proposed safe operating space for nine planetary systems. The red wedges represent an estimate of the current position or each variable (Rockström et al. (2009)).

4-09-2023



Fig. 1. Consolidated nitrogen budget for the agri-food system of the European Union in 2015 based on data from Eurostat, Corrado et al. (2020) and system definitions by Westhoek et al. (2015). See Table SI1.

Quantities are reported in Tg N yr^{-1} (BNF: biological N fixation).

From Leip et al, 2022

Nitrogen Balance and grass production in Europe





Grassa GREEN REFINED SOLUTIONS


Grassa Unlocking the full potential of grass



Unlocking the full potential of grass



The Grassa Circularity Summary



Local & circular

- replacing GMO soy protein
- reducing CO₂ footprint with 80%
- local manure on local land



Emission

- 30% less NH₃ ammonia
- 30% less P-phosphate
- 15% less CH₄ methane



Improved food efficiency

- more food from existing grass
- availability for monogastric creatures
- higher production of milk and meat

Better soils and biodiversity

- facilitates land exchange / strip farming
- inclusion of herbs and clover
- higher CO₂ binding

Wageningen UR trial 3*20 cows with Grassa presscake



Earlier and similar results with Grassa presscake:

Pijlman, J. et al., 2018. Effect of feeding the grass fibrous fraction obtained from biorefinery on N and P utilisation of dairy cows. p. 431-433.In: 20th Nitrogen Workshop: "Coupling C-N-P-S cycles". Rennes, France. 25-27 Serra, E. et al., 2023. Biorefined press cake silage as feed source for dairy cows: effect on milk production and composition, rumen fermentation, nitrogen and phosphorus excretion and in vitro methane production. Livestock Science 267:105135.

Outlook: increase of NUE by grass biorefinery combined with mixed species swards and NH₃ stripping by Byosis technology

- Legumes in swards containing 6-9 different plant species do not require no/little N fertilizers because these plants can fixate N from the air at even higher protein yields per ha
- Biorefining of these leaves increases the protein efficiency for cows so that some 40% of the protein can be fed to pigs at equal milk yields per hectare
- 50% of the nitrogen in the cow and pig manure can be recovered by stripping of ammonia; the other 50% goes back to the swards. **Instead of buying N fertilizer, the farmer can sell it**

Nitrogen Use Efficiency	Traditional grazing	Traditional grazing Including pig feed	Grass biorefinery	Biorefinery and Mixed species swards
No stripping	0,16	0,18	0,29	0,39
NH ₃ stripping		0,23	0,33	0,45





membrane fractionating to prebiotic and mineral concentrate

Baling the presscake



Grassa in Fort Portal Uganda

BIOGAFRICA

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation under Grant Agreeement no 101000762

Ensiling grass at Savanet and KRC **BIOGAFRICA**







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation under Grant Agreeement no 101000762

ensiling using fermented whey



24 HOURS

BIO AFRICA

Unexpected contribution of fermented grasswhey to reduce NH3 and CH4 emissions.



Fig. 1. Treatment effect on pH (a-c), glucose consumption (d-e) and lactic acid production (g-i) over time. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n = 3). For abbreviations of treatments, see Table 1.

Bio-acidification of animal slurry: Efficiency, stability and the mechanisms involved I. Regueiro a, B. G'omez-Mu[~]noz a, M. Lübeck b, M. Hjorth c, L. Stoumann Jensen a,* 2022

National protein strategy

- in 2018 the aim was 100 000 ha plant proteins
- in 2021 this aim was regarded far too ambitious
- 80 000 tonnes of beet proteins are degraded to NH3 and nitrate /year
- 950 000ha of grassland is not regarded as plant protein source for this strategy
- A recent book of 575 pages devoted to OUR FUTURE PROTEINS Ddoes not even mention grass biorefineries in Denmark, Austria, Switzerland, the Netherlands.

STACY PYETT WENDY JENKINS BARBARA VAN MIERLO LUISA M. TRINDADE DAVID WELCH HANNAH VAN ZANTEN Editors



FASCINATING GRONINGEN COMBINING 4 LARGE COOPERATIVES IN PROTEIN DEVELOPMENTS



functional proteins from



Benefits of Solanic®

- Free from allergen labeling
- Perfectly fit a vegetarian and vegan lifestyle
- More sustainable than animal proteins
- Exceptional soluble
- Superior emulsifying, foaming and gelling properties



Products - Innovation - Sustainability - About us - Members Contact

<text>

abel binder for based meat

s provide all the required ctionality, like gelation and for manufacturers seeking animal proteins or E-number nethylcellulose: in burgers and c[®] replaces egg albumen, to give ng and bite. In vegan 'sausages' to give a stable emulsion and a



ews Tuesday, 30 Nov 2021

Cosun protein applications from Fava and beet leaf





Home Company News Contact

Plant protein Products Innovation Sustainability



Milestone for Rubisco Foods: EFSA gives positive verdict on food safety of protein concentrate from water lentils

RAALTE, 18 April 2023 At Rubisco Foods, we were already convinced. Now it has also been officially confirmed that the protein concentrate that Rubisco Foods extracts from water lentils (duckweed) is food-safe. The EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) confirms this, having conducted thorough research.



Home The Team What is it? Sustainable News Blog Get in touch Careers EN | NL



10 000 ton mycoprotein In 2023 together with Cargill

Talk to us

in

delicious. nutritious. sustainable.





SAY GOODBYE TO OVERFISHING...



SAY HELLO TO FUNCI FOOD! BECAUSE ONE WORLD has to be ENOUGH



Conclusions



•Biorefining of grass will increase animal protein production per ha by 50% while milk quantity and quality stays equal,

- •Biorefinery improves NUE threefold if combined with legumes and ammonia stripping offering conditions to stay within our Planetary boundaries
- Biorefinery of leaves will substitute all soy and undesired mineral imports into EU
- •Biorefinery will lead to increased rural employability and increased agricultural incomes
- •Biorefinery of green leafs in Africa will contribute to food security also during dry periods.

<u> Iohan Sanders - johan@grassa.nl - www.grassa.nl</u>